JINNAH-GANDHI TALKS -

(Sertefiler 1914)

Text of correspondence and other relevant documents etc

Foreword by Nawabzada Liaquat Ali Khan, 317 A

Central Office
ALL INDIA WESTIM LEAGUE

The long arm of diplomacy



Mr Jinnah and Mr Gandhi snapped, before the talks

JINNAH-GANDHI TALKS

(September 1944)

Text of correspondence and other relevant documents etc

Foreword by Liaquat Ali Khan, M.L. A.

CENTRAL OFFICE

Kovember, 1911

Price Ans 6

Postage Extr.

Printed and Published by S. Shamail Hasan AT THE MUSIM LEAGUE PRINTING PRESS, Daryaganj, DELHI.

CONTENTS

	Pag
Foreword	a
Rajagopalachari-Jinnah	
correspondence.	i
Mr. Jinnah's Speech before the	
A. I. M. L. Council on 30th July	
at Lahore.	v
Joint Statement of Mr. Jinnah and	•
Mr. Gandhi released to the	
Press, along with the text of	
correspondence, on September	
27, 1944.	1
Jinnah-Gandhi Correspondence	ž
Mr. Gandhi's first Speech after	
breakdown of negotiations,	53
Mr. Gandhi's Press Statement	00
dated 28th September 1944.	56
Mr. Gandhi's interview to the repre-	••
sentative of the "News Chronicle"	1
on 29th September 1944.	64
Mr. Jinnah's Statement at a Press	- •
Conference held on 4th	
October 1944.	66
Mr. Jinnah's interview to the	
representative of the "News	

(hronicle", London on 4th,	
October 1944.	75
Mr. Jinnah's interview to the	
representative of the "Daily Wor	ker,"
London on 5th October 1944.	79
APPENDICES.	
Lahore Resolution (1940) of	
the All India Muslim League.	83

A	Lahore Resolution (1940) of	
	the All India Muslim League.	8
в.	C. R. Formula.	8
C.	Mr. Gandhi's Proposal dated	
	24th September 1944	8

D. Jagat Naram Lal's Resolution of the Ali India Congress Committee. 88

the All India Congress Committee. 88

E. August (1942) Resolution of the
All India Congress Committee. 89

FOREWORD.

In forming our opinion on the negotiations between Mr. Jinnah and Mr. Gandhi at Bombay, we should study the sequence of events as well as the text of the correspondence which is published in this brochure.

On April 8, 1944, Mr. C Rajagopalachari sent a letter to Mr. Jinnah enclosing what is called the Rajaji formula which he described as "the basis for a settlement which I discussed with Gandhiji in March, 1943, and of which he expressed full approval". It was followed by another letter in which Mr Rajagopalachari expressed disappointment that the President of the Muslim League was unable to approve of the terms. The fact was that Mr. Jinnah expressed his willingness to place the formula before the Working Committee of the Muslim League as he could not individually take the responsibility of accepting or rejecting it. Here it may be noted that the formula was not open to discussion or any modification. Mr Rajagopala-chari would not agree to such a perfectly constitutional course, but strangely

enough on July 4, 1944, he sent a telegram to Mr Jinnah from Panchgani alleging that the formula had been rejected by the President of the Muslim League. At that time the Mahatma was recuperating at Panchgani and Mr. linnah was having a spell of rest, after eight years of unremitting work for the cause of the Muslims without a single holiday. reply to the telegram, Mr. Jinnah wired to Mr. Rajagopalacharı at Panchgani that he could not go beyond the message of July 2 resterating his former view that he should be allowed by the proposer of the formula, namely Mr. C Rajagopalachari, to consult the Working Committee of the Muslim League. As to how and why such a course should be deemed objectionable by Mr. Gandhi and Mr. C. Rajagopalachari who were in constant consultations, it is not for me to judge, but the procedure suggested by the President of the Muslim League was only right and proper.

On the day of the receipt of the telegram from Sringgår, Mr. Rajagopalachari released the correspondence and informed Mr. Jinnah that private negotiations had ended and that it was necessary to take the public into confidence. The formula approved by Mr. Gandhi had remained

with his collaborator, for more than a year like a dead secret. Meanwhile, there was another significant move of Mr. Gandhi vis a-vis the Viceroy to reach an understanding, a move which was not revealed to Mr. Jinnah as the paiallel correspondence with him was in progress Let us see how Mr. Gandhi's overtures to the Viceroy were timed.

It will be remembered that Mr. Gandhi arranged an interview to Mr. Stuart Gelder, correspondent of the News Chronicle, in which he gave his views on the situation for the indirect edification of Lord Wavell. This correspondent was to be an intermediary between the Congress Dictator and the Viceroy just another Englishman, Mr. Reginald Reynolds, had been engaged to carry a letter from Mr Gandhi to the then Viceroy, Lord Irwin. Instead of using the Post Office, Mr. Gandhi preferred this courier system which undoubtedly adds to the lustre of Congress publicity. What happened was that the Gelder move "misfired"- the Mahatma's word-whereupon Mr. Gandhi wrote on July 15 from Panchgans to the Viceroy calling Lord Wavell's attention to the Stuart Gelder affair. Lord Wavell replied in a letter dated July 22 asking the Mahatma for a definite and

constructive policy for His Excellency to consider Five days later, on July 27, 1944. Mr Gandhi replied stating that the time had come, at last, for friendly talks Next, on August 15, 1944 (nineteen days after the despatch of Mr Gandhi's letter) Lord Wavell sent his answer containing a statement of Government policy as against Mr Gandhi's "concrete proposal of a National Government" responsible to the elected members of the Assembly, the majority of whom are Hindus other words, there was no change surmise is that in the long interval the Viceroy must have contacted Whitehall to formulate the policy stated in the letter under reference It is parallel to this private correspondence with Lord Wavell which was hid from Mr Jinnah's eyes, that a brief exchange of letters took place between Mr Gandhi and the President of the Muslim League Mark the dates again On July 17. Mr. Gandhi writes from Panchgani to Mr Jinnah at Srinagar a letter accompanied by a translation in Urdu It said that the two should meet Upon the receipt of the letter, Mr Jinnah replied that he would be glad to receive him at his house in Bombay and that immediately on his return from Sringgar he would suggest a

convenient time. Later Mr. Jinnah informed him from Bombay that August 19 would be suitable but unfortunately he took ill and his doctors forbade him against undertaking any work involving strain. The matter was promptly conveyed to Sevagram and later September 9 was fixed for the first meeting which took place according to the scheduled time.

Meanwhile Mr. Jinnah had at the meeting of the Council of the League at Lahore publicly examined the contents of Rajan's formula pointing out how the proposal was a disfigured caricature of the Pakistan idea. but he welcomed the intimation of Mr. Gandhi that he had agreed to the division of India. The progress of the talks was fortunately brought on record at the suggestion of Mr. Gandhi that letters had better be exchanged for purposes of record and clarification. I give important dates in this story of concurrent correspondence.

Dateline. Subject Matter.

Panchgani, July 15. Stuart Gelder's story Gandhi to Wavell. and the suggestion that Mr. Gandhi should be allowed to

contact his colleagues the detention camp or outside

Panchgani, July 17. Gandhi to Jinnah.

"We must meet"

New Delhi, July 22 Wavell to Gandhi

Asks for definite and constructive policy.

Sunagar, July 24 Jinnah to Gandhi Consenting to meet.

Panchgani, July 27 Gandhi to Wavell

Asks for friendly talks with Viceroy making concrete proposal

Wavell to Gundhi

New Delhi, Aug 15 "Banging the door" as the Congress Press put it.

August 19

Date for interview originally fixed

September 9

Gandhi-Jinnah talks begin

The inference is clear that while wooing Lord Wavell, Mr Gandhi was also alive to the impression it could create once it was known that the Gandhi-Jinnah talks might tesult in a Hindu-Muslim settlement, thus opening a new phase of concerted action both by the Hindus and

the musium to demand the transfer of power from the British Government The prospect of a Hindu-Muslim concordat is the only nightmare which British diehards and vested interests could ever have in respect of India, since they could not thereafter trade on the plea of Hindu-Muslim disunity in the country dates indicate that Mr Gaidhi wished Lord Wavell to know that if a friendly response to his advances did not materialise he would form an alliance with the Muslim League which had been foreshadowed by his acceptance of the C R formula which stood for the division of India as principle and policy With the new statement of policy contained in the Viceroy's letter of August 15, 1944, which had already become a public document, Mr Gandhi found himself left high and dry by the British Government, but his commitment to meet Mi Jinnah at Bombay on August 19 remained There was to be no change of the existing constitution until the termination of the war though agreement by the main political parties to work the existing constitution would loosen the deadlock and bring the country nearer to the setting up of a Provisional Government on an equal footing between the Hindus and Mussulmans in the formation of the Executive But then that would frustrate Mr Gandhi's ambition to instal a "National Government" responsible to the Hindu majority in the Assembly who would be under the thumb of the Congress High Command and the vested interests with which the Congress is becoming increasingly and insidiously merged for the control of power

Mr Gandhi met Mr Jinnah He maintained that he represented nobody but himself, an awkward position for the President of the Muslim League in the matter of negotiations Nevertheless, Mr Jinnah put up with what really is a fond pretence of the Congress Dictator

I am glad that the exchange of ideas and exolanations were put in black and white for two reasons It is an education to the public Secondly, it protects the President of the Muslim League (at least in the eyes of impartial observers) against faise accusations and mendacious versions of what happened

I would like to draw the attention of readers to a few salient features of the Rajaji formula The last clause states that the terms of the settlement proposed would be binding only if the British Government transferred full power A settlement, if it is genuing, must accepted and should remain honoured on its intrinsic merits, but Mr Rajagopalachari wanted it as an opportunistic maneouvre British statesmen are too shrewd for this kind of thing, nor do Mussu mans want a superficial patch up to over-awe the British Government and make them part with power in order to validate the terms of a Hindu-Muslim settlement Further the Rajaji formula wants a district-wise plebiscite to be taken in the Pakistan provinces, the test being the recording of an absolute majority of adults in favour of separation or against it It was a subfle move to riddle the fabric of Pakistan with so many holes and pockets, and it was calculated therefore to sabotage the whole scheme of dividing British India into Pakistan and Hindustan, the former containing a majority of Muslims and the latter a majority of Hindus both the Sovereign States being affianced if they so will, by treaties and engagements as sovereign units and pledged by the bonds of reciprocity to the principle of a fair deal and no discrimination for the citizens of both areas There was no plebiscite in Ireland when Ulster and Eire parat

nor was any plebiscite taken at the time of Separation of Burma or Ceylon from India In fact there are many other instances of a similar kind in the Constitutional history of the World But in the case of Pakistan Mr Rajagopalachari himself something of an autocrat while in office has become suddenly enamoured of a vote by all the adults so that an absolute majority could be secured

Many people do not understand the implications of the term 'absolute ma jority on which Mr Gandhi also insisted An absolute majority in the legal and constitutional sense has a definite meaning and no district would be qualified or eligible to be included in the proposed Pakistan areas unless a il atority could be secured not only of those who go to the polling booths but of the total number of the voters irrespective of the fact who ther they exercise their right of vote or not In other words an absolute majority is not merely a clear majority of those who take the trouble of voting but it is a majority against all combinations active or potential by the token of the register of voters and the ballot box

Only two points would I mention in

connection with Mr. Gandhi's contentions as found in the correspondence. Beginning with the plea that he favoured the Rajaji formula, he upon the joint examination with Mr. Jinnah of its defects performed a somersault saying that the Rajaji formula lay "shupted off" the rails. unnecessarily he raised conundrums about the Two Nations Theory, the status of converts, the place of Pan-Islamism Pakistan and other issues remote from the simple question of arriving at a Hindu-Muslim settlement. Indian Muslims today claim that they constitute a nation by every test of definition but what is more vital they are profoundly conscious of their sense of nationality; they are also aware of the spirit of Hindu renaissance and the Caste-Hindu Imperialism that has expressed itself through Congress activities apart from the ideology and social outlook of the Hindus which differentiate their political aims Mr. Gandhi would not in his replies explain his ideas of a transitional Government and its authority during the transition, whereas the Muslim claim is that once the principle Pakistan is accepted, constitution making bodies could be formed to adjust differences, if need be with the aid of experts to solve particular difficulties The Muslim

case has been misrepresented in many quarters but a study of the letters that passed between the two leaders would reveal how close to realities Mr Jinnah moved and how anxiously he sought clarification on the practical aspects of the problem explaining in turn any point on which Mr Gandbi wanted further light

When the negotiations broke down both of them subscribed to a statement to the effect that they regarded negotiations as not having been closed and Mr when he was pressed by the representatives of the Press said that the matter stood adjourned sine die tunately Mr Gandhi indulged in misrepresentations after the event in order to male Mr. Jinnah appear as the one obsta cle to a settlement Upon the question of the leal age of correspondence other invidious matters I need not dwell Mr Gandhi was not serious about a settlement as his letter to Mr Jinnah had made it appear and as the days passed be constantly changed his ground zigzagging between the Rajaji formula and his own It is amazing that he should "proposal claim to have conceded the essence the Labore Resolution while he is reluctant

to accept the Lahore Resolution

The day after the breakdown, Mr Gandhi's own paper voicing as it.does his views came out saying that the Muslim League should repudiate Mr Jinnah's leadership and find someone else to speak for Muslim India If this is the lesson they have learnt from the failure of the talks I can only say that I am sorry for our Hindu friends From all parts of India the Muslims upon learning about the failure and also understanding the nature of the correspondence released, expressed their unabated confidence in their leader There has been regret over the failure of the talks but it is perhaps deeper among the Muslims as a whole since their case had been put by Mr Jinnah in moderation and by a searching analysis of all the facts and proposals that pertain to a Hindu-Now that Muslims Muslim settlement have a clear goal, they are far more self possessed in their political argument than the Hindus who dream still of the politics that would lead them to All-India domination and who at the same time realise in their waking hours that it is impossible for them to achieve Mussalmans of light and leading will find this book a valuable work of reference and

explain to their followers the meaning of the differences that came to the surface Let it also serve as a source of reference for impartial observers who are not participants in the Hindu-Muslim dispute and be of use to them in understanding the case of Muslim India.

(Nawabzada) Liaquat Ali Khun

New Delhi, November 25, 1944

RAJAGOPALACHARI-JINNAH CORRESPONDENCE

Letter from Mr. Rajagopalacharı to Mr. Jinnah.

NEW DELHI, April 8, 1944 Dear Mr Jinnah,

Here is the basis for a settlement which I discussed with Gandhiji in March 1943, and of which he expressed full approval He then authorized me to signify his approval of these terms should I be able to convince you of their being just and fair to As the Government have refused to relax any of the restrictions imposed on him to enable him to discuss or negotiate terms of any settlement. I write this to you on his behalf and hope that this will bring about a final settlement of the most unfortunate.impasse we are in You are aware of the intensity of my desire for a settlement I was very glad when I found it possible to obtain Gandhii s approval of these terms I hope that you will bestow your fullest thought on the justice and fairness of these proposals and help to terminate a condition of affairs which is steadily causing all round deteriora tion in the country

Yours sincerely,

Enclosure C R Formula C Rajagopalachar, (Appendix B)

Letter from Mr Rajagopalachari to Mr Jinnah

Dear Mr Jinnah, NEW DELHI, April 17, 1944

The proposal I gave you in writing when we last met in Delhi must be still with you and perhaps you have read it over again and given further thought to it I was much disappointed, as you are aware, at your mability to approve of the terms But I hope you may perhaps reconsider your position I sincerely believe that the proposals form a fair and satisfactory basis of settlement I shall be grateful to hear from you as to whether you have reconsidered the matter.

Yours sincerely, C Rajagopalachari

Telegram from Mr Rajagopalachari to Mr. Jinnah,

POONA June 30, 1944

Qaid i Azam Jinnah, Guest House, Srinagar

My letter dated April 17, touching matter personally discussed on April 8 remnus yet unanswred Have now met Gandhiji who still holds by formula presented to you by me I would like now publish the formula and your rejection. This telegrams is sent with Gandhiji s approval. I would like you at this juncture to reconsider your rejection.

C Rajagopalachari Dilkusha Panch_ani

Telegram from Mr Jinnah to Mr. Rajagopalachari

SRINAGAR, July 2, 1944

Mr Rajagopalachari Dilkusha Panchgani

Your request to publish your formula Your wrong version out talk that I rejected your. formula is unfair.suprising True facts are I was willing place your formula before Worling Committee Muslim League although it was not open to any modification but you did not agree allow me to do

so Hence no further step was taken My reaction was that I could not personally take responsibility of accepting or rejecting it and my position remains same today If Mr Gandhi even now sends me direct his proposal I am willing place it before Muslim League Working Committee

M A JINNAH.

Telegram from Mr. Rajagopalachari to Mr. Jinnah

PANCHGANI, July 4, 1944

Quid :- \zam Jinnah, Guest House, Srinagar.

Thanks telegram My letter April 17 showed formula so fir as you were personally concerned shall be glad indeed if as your telegram suggests you did not reject it. Gandhijt though not vested with representative or special authority. In this matter definitely approved my proposal and authorized me to approach you on that basis. Now again he reaffirms his assent. Weight of his opinion would most probably secure Congress acceptance. You were unwilling to accept my formula, but we willing to place it before Lexque Council. I think no purpose served by such procedure so long as it does not have your own support.

C Rajagopalachari. Dilkusha, Pancheam

Telegram from Mr. Jinnah to Mr. Rajagopalachari

SRINAGAR, July 5, 1944

Mr. Rajagopalachari, Dilkusha, Panchgani Regret unable to go beyond my telegram July 2 M A. JINNAR

Telegram From Mr. Rajagopalachari to Mr. Jinnah.

PANCHGANI, July 8, 1944

Mr. Jinnah, Guest House, Srinagar.

Your telegram of 5th received today. With it private negotiation ends. It is necessary take public into confidence flow. I am accordingly releasing entire correspondence ending your wire 5th

C. Rajagopalachari

Mr. JINNAH S SPEECH AT THE MEETING OF ALL INDIA MUSLIM LEAGUE COUNCIL HELD ON THE 30th JULY, 1944, AT LAHORE

Since the release of Mr Gandhi there has been a flood of statements, Press reports and comments, and I have tried to follow all the as circfully as it is possible for me to do so, particularly with reference to what is called by Mr Rajagopal schan his formula for the Hindu Muslim settlement, and for the moment I wish to deal with that matter Burying the past and starting from that point, let us examine the position

On the 18th May 1944 Mr Gandhi s letter to me from prison of May 4th 1943 was released because, it was stated "Dawn' had asked for its publication, and that it was owing to the public that the letter should see the light of the day Hence the ielease of the letter under Mr Gandhi sustructions. In that letter Mr Gandhi sustructions In that letter Mr Gandhi says "I have followed the proceedings of the I eague as reported in the "Dawn columns. I noted your invitation to me to write to you. Hence this letter I welcome your invitation. I suggest our meeting face to face rather than talking through correspondence. But I am in your hands. I hope that this letter will be sent to you and if you agree to my proposal, that the Government will let you visit me. One thing I had better mention. There seems to be an "if about your invitation. Do you say I should write only if I have changed my heart? God alone knows men shearts."

I knew the substance of this letter, because the Government had furnished me with it at the time

and in my statement I pointed out that it was not the kind of letter that I expected from Mr Gandhi in response to the appeal which I made in mi speech in April 1943 in my presidential address to the Muslim League It has now been fully borne out without a shadow of doubt that Mr Gandle understood that there was an "if' about my invitation, which was evided, but neverthe less, as usual, the entire Congress Press accused me of having gone back on my word, and did everything in their power to miscente ent. while and mutilite my speech That "if ' still remains and the letter still remains undelivered to me While Mr Gandhi was busy, and there had been a plethorn of correspondence between him, from the Ara Khan's palace at Poona, and the Vicerot. and since his release he has been well enough to see numerous promunent men from day to day and to carry on correspondence with the Vicerov and others he has not however, thought it proper to and me even a copy of that letter, being the addressee of the original (but thought fit to release it to the Press)

Then comes the next chapter. After all heefforts had fuled to establish contact with Lord
Linhthpow to negotiate with lum over the hest
of the Muslim League, completely ignoring and
by passing it, he swight an intersiew with Lord
Wavell, his dran friend, conveying to him ad
nauseant that he was a friend of the British nation
and a loyal son of the British Fupure and that
he should be allowed to meet the members of
his Working Committee in prison or thes should
be released, and for that purpose he said. If
you will see me before deciding, I shall ghall you
wherever you want me to. "This reproces of his

was refused by the Viceroy by his letter of June 22nd This "No" to Mr Gandhi, it was reported, cast a gloom of Poona But even the final effort of Mr Gandhi through the British journalist Mr Gelder as a go between to link him up with Lord Wavell was a misfire At this psychological moment Rajagopulachari was at Poona, and suddenly I received a telegram from him on June 30th as another on between complaining without. suduemy I received a telegram from him on June 30th, as another go between, complaning without any reason that his letter of April 8th remained unanswered, although he knew prefectly well that it required no answer, as the answer was already given to him, and threat-ning me that already given to him, and threat-ning me that he would like to publish the formula and my rejection. He said he had so the telegram with Mr Gandhis approval, and further warned me that he would like me at this juncture to reconsider my rejection. In my reply I pointed out to him that his version that I had rejected the formula was wrong, and I am glad that he does not contradict the true facts as stated by me but confirms them. The correspondence was, however, abruptly released to the Press, so that I should stand only trial before the bar of the public opinion of the world and of India, and especially of the Mussalmans. Immediately the word had passed and the Congress Press framed various grave charges against me. To give a few instances in some of the so called responsible newspapers. "Irros possible and ill considered reply from one who claims to speak for his community is nothing short of a betrayal of his community is nothing short of a betrayal of his community and the country at large. It is now up to his Mushm community to light the offer on its merits and find the leader or leaders who will play the game." There were charges his "intoxicated with ego and vunity", "Ucompromising attitude", "A block in the way of freedom of India." It was urged that Mr. Jinnah he would like to publish the formula and my rejec

should be sacked or made to retire by Muslim India and so on and so forth It is surprising that even Mr Gandhi at this juncture has encouraged this propaganda both in this country and abroad by the enemies of the Muslim League by stating in his interview on July 13th that the British Government is using me is a clock and that this conspiries to stiffle India a aspirations must be broken This is the background of the so called ne Lotiations for a Hinda Yushim settlement started by Mr Raj igopalachari with the approval of Mr Gandhi and from the mass of varying statements and con tradictions to day only one essential issue emerges namely that I am put on my trial and that I have now to defend myself I has the private negotiations My only sin was that I requested Mr Rangopalachari to allow me to place proposal before my Worling Committee and that as Mr Gandhi was no lorger in pri on I requested that he should directly communicate to me whatever proto als he may choose to put forward assuring him that I would place them before my Working Committee What was the objection to such a course? I fail to appreciate the line adopted by Mr Gandhi and Mr Langopalichin and I an willing to face the verdict of the Muslim I orgue and any other independent and impartial men in India or aproad

This is so fir as the procedure addyre! Now we come to the firm of the Irmula This, proposals were not open to any discussion or indiffication! It was on the basis of take it or leave to It is seens that the Congress philosophy goes one better than the British impendism. It crips proposals had the sanction! thind they of His Majestey's Government and His Wajesty's Government sent one of the members of the Calmy.

all the way to India to personally approach the Congress and the Mushm League Not only that, Sir Stafford Cripps was closetted with the Congress leaders and the Working Committee for more than two weeks in explaining and clarifying whatever points were raised by the Congress and the Mushm League Trins, there also was that rigidity, that the fundamentals of the Cripps proposals were not open to any modification, and that was the reason why he failed But Mr Gandhi and Mr Rajagopalachari are out Herod in Horod This is pure and simple dictation and first a sincere desire to negotiate In the first blace. Mr Rajagopalachari is an expelled dictation and not a sincere desire to negotiate in the first place, Mr Bajagopalacharı is an expelled member of the Congress, and whatever individual efforts he may have made were by virtue of the approval of Mr Gandhi to his propasals during Mr Gandhi's incureeration. But once Mr Gandhi and Gandhi's increceration But once air Gandhi is released and is a free man, it is up to Mr Gandhi personally to deal with this grave problem of the settlement of the Hindu Muslim question, and there is no need of any go between But Mr Gandhi is too ill, and in his recent interview, when any question was put to him, he directed the questioner to Mr Rajagopalachari, and the Press representatives to Mr. Rajagopalachari, and the Fress representatives have been told that he had personally subscribed to Rajaji's offer when he was fasting in prison camp "15 is now 16 months old For the rest of the offer, I must carry out the contract between Rajaji and myself He is to bear the brunt_of_all criticism that might be made, about that_offer'. It is a pity that he gave no indication of this in his famous letter dated 4th May 1943, which still approach the still th remains undelivered to me, and it has got a new name now, it is not a "formula" but an "offer"

new name now, it is not a stream of a segard Mr Gandhi, who says he has subscribed to this offer, but according to Mr Rajsgopal achar, it is a "joint contribution" and "formula, the question arises, in what capacity can Mr Gandh, it

association be judged, for he also is not even a fouranna member of the Congress He has not so many capacities-his personal capacity his capacity as the Dictator of the Congress, and above all his Mahatmaic divine authority, which is puided by his inner voice, and he is a Satyagrahi and the sole interpreter of what it means and stands for He is not a Hindu but a Sanatanist , and he follows Hinduism of his own It is rather difficult to know as to what capacity Mr Gandhi will use at a given time Mr Gandhi, I hope, will be good enough to study the constitution, rules and regulations of the All-India Muslim League, and that he will understand better my position as the President of a really well organized and democratic body, viz, the All India Muslim League, for I remember when Mr Gandhi met Lord Lanlithgow in September 1939, after the outbreak of the war, he broke down and tears rolled down from his eyes when he visualized the possible destruction by bombing of the Westminster Abbey and the Houses of Parliament, and said "What was the use of Indian freedom if Figland and Franco were defeated? . be in a statement declared his wholehearted and most enthusiastic support for the prosecution of the war But hardly a week thereafter, the Congress Working Committee decided to non cooperate if their demand for immediate independence, etc was not met, and as a first step the Congress members of the Central Assembly were ordered to withdraw turned round and said they were right, he was only in a minority of one, and advised Lord I inlithgow to come to terms with the Congress, approving of their decision

Now we come to the merits of the proposal In this case we are told by Mr Rajagopalachari to quote his own words of the series of telegrams which were released by him —

"Mr Gandhi, though not vested with representative or special capacity in this matter, definitely approved of my proposal and authorized me to approach you on that basis Weight of his opinion would most probably secure Congressive

Mr Rajagopalachari in his statement of July 16th from Pruchgam staters with an absolutely untrue and misleading statement He says that "It is now two years since I started work, even though I had secured Gandhiji s unqualified support to the scheme and it conceded all that the Muslim League had ever demanded in its Resolution of 1940." If this is so, why not say we accept the League Resolution of 1940? His formula is a parody, a negation of and intended to torpedo the Muslim Leagues Resolution of March 1940, and when he says that his formula concedes all that the Muslim League had ever demanded by its resolution, it is the grossest travesty First of all, where does he find any mention of "plebis cite" of any kind in that resolution? Then why this riciculous proposal of a plebisrite districtivise? But let me take clause by clause some important points of Mr Rajagopalacharis formula

First, take the preamble, the basis of the terms which, if accepted, will completely bind the Muslim League, whereas the Mahatma may withdraw his blessings, as he is not speaking, according to Mr Rajagoplachari, with the authority of the Congress or in his representative capacity, whatever that may mean Then we come to the first clause, 'Subject to the terms set out below as regards the Constitution'. I do not see 'the Constitution'

in this formula Which Constitution does he rufer to? Then comes the demand for our endor sing the Indian demand for independence It implies that we are against the independence of the peoples of India, and both Mr Gandhi and Mr Rajagopalachari know that it is an un called for insimuation to make, and they are casting an unwarranted reflection upon the Mushm League Next comes the condition that we should co oper ate with the Congress in the formation of a provisional interim Government for the transitional period, thereby arrogating to the Congress a dominant and superior position and requiring our co operation, as a subordinate body, with this leading organisation, and as to the kind of provisional interim Government for the transitional period that is to be formed, no indication is given as to its form, character, personnel, its powers, etc After the termination of the war a Commission shall be appointed for demarcating contiguous districts in the North West and East of India, and a plebiscite of all the inhabitants would be held districtwise where the Muslim population is in absolute majority. It is not stated who will appoint this Commission, what will be its personnel and its powers, and who will enforce its findings Really, how can Mr Rajagopal achari stand unabashed and make a public state ment that his formula concedes all that the Muslim Learne's Resolution of March 1940 demands? It would be open to all parties to advocate their point of view before a plebiscite is held, although this agreement is intended to be only between the Congress and the League Next, in the event of separation, mutual agreements shall be entered into for safeguarding d'fence, commerce, and communications, and for other essential purposes The question arises, safeguarding these matters

from whom and what does it mean? These mutual agreements are made obligators and it is not very easy te understand the significance of this clause. Then comes the last clause which is the height of ingenuity. These terms shall be binding only in case of transfer by Britain of full power and responsibility for the government of India. But it does not say to whom, how and when

According to the latest statement by Mr Gandhi the August Resolution is "absolutely innocuous", and that while his authority has lapsed the August Resolution has not lapsed Let it now collapse for the Mushims do not regard it as innocuous as both the demand and the sanction for it to force this demand are immical to the Muslim ideals and demands Let Mr Gandhi join hands with the Muslim Langue on the basis of Pakistan in plain and unequivocal language, and we shall be nearer the independence of the peoples of India, which is so dear to the heart of not only Mr Gandhi but of millions in this country Mr Gandhi and Mr Rajagopal achari are putting the cart before the horse when they say that all these clauses can have any value or can become effective only if Great Britain on his latest seven points and immediately esta blishing a National Government of Mr Gandhi s conception There is no chance of it unless the Hindus and Muslims come to a settlement and unite and thus by means of a united front wring out our freedom from the unwilling hands of the rulers of Great Britian

I am sorry if by expressing my views honestly and freely and in self defence, I have but any body's feelings Liputposely did not wish to say anything when Mr Gandhi was good enough to

release to the Press his famous letter to me dated May 4th 1943 I refused to say a single word throughout the period commencing from the release of Mr Gandhi up to date I refused to say any thing when abruptly the correspondence was closed and released to the Press by Mr Rajgopalachari had expected along with the millions of people in this country, that Mr Gandhi would review and revise the entire situation and give a correct lead. having regard to the realities and conditions prev ailing in India But I think in furness to the Muslim League and to myself I must now put our case before the bar of the world opinion, and particularly the public opinion of Hindus and Muslims in this land as by the tactics of Mr Rajgopalachari as approved by Mr Gandhi I am forced to do so But out of evil cometh good I do not mind all the vi ification and misrepresentation and the base campugn that is carried on against me But at last, and it is to the good and conducive to further progress. Mr Gandhi has at any rate in his personal capacity, accented the principle of pariation or division of India What remains now is the question of how and when This has got to be carried out Mr Gandhi knows and understands the position better than any living man, for in one of his articles m the 'Harnan' he put the question of Pakistan demand in a nutshell. This is what he said "I hope Quid e Azam does not represent the conu dered opinion even of his colleagues Pakistan. according to him, in a nutshell is a demand for carring out of India a portion to be wholly treated as an independent and sovereign state

I am glad that Mr Gandhi realises that 1044 is not 1042. It is so in more senses than one, and he may further take into consideration that 1030 40 41 is not 1044.

I am enclosing herewith a translation of this letter in Urdu

Your brother, GANDHI

(Mr. M.A Jinnah's reply to Mr Gandhi)

H B 'Queen Ehzabeth', Srinagar Kashmir 24th July, 1944

Dear Mr Gandhi.

I received your letter dated 17th of July here on the 22nd of July, and I thank you for it

I shall be glad to receive you at my house in Bombry on my return, which will probably be about the middle of August By that time I hope that you will have recuperated your health fully and will be returning to Bombay I would like to say nothing more till we meet

I am very very pleased to read in the Press that you are making very good progress, and I hope that you will soon be all right

Yours sincerely,

JOINT STATEMENT SIGNED BY MR, JINNAH & MR, GANDHI RELEASED TO THE PRESS BY MR, JINNAH ALONG WITH THE TEXT OF CORRESPONDENCE ON THE EVENING OF SEPTEMBER 27, 1944

"Mr Gandhi from the very commencement of our talks made it clear that he had approached me in his individual capacity and that he represented no one but himself. However, he assured me that he was really open to conviction and conversion to the Muslim League Lahore Resolution of March 1940

Without prejudice to my objection that in order to reach any settlement, negotiations can only be carried on properly when the other side is also fully represented and vested with authority, in deference to Mr Gandhi's wishes I agreed to the task of persuading and converting him to the fundamentals of Lahore Resolution

I have placed before him everything and every aspect of the Muslim point of view in the course of our prolonged talks and correspondence, and we discussed all the program cons generally, and I regret to say that I have failed in my task of converting Mr. Gandhi.

We have, therefore, decided to release to the Press the correspondence that his passed between us

Nevertheless, we hope that the public will not feel embittered, and we trust that this is not the final end of our effort"

JINNAH-GANDHI CORRESPONDENCE

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 10 --

Dear Mr Gandhi

With reference to our talk yesterday. Sent ember 9th I understood from you that you had come to discuss the Hindu Muslim settlement with me in your individual capacity and not in any representative character or capacity on behalf of the Hindus or the Congress nor had you any authority to do so I naturally pointed out to you that there must be some one on the other side with authority holding a representative status with whom I can negotiate and, if possible come to a settle ment of the Hindu Muslim question and that for the position you had adopted there was no precedent, and that this raises great difficulties in my way

As you know I can only speek on behalf of Muslim India and the All India Muslim League as the President of the organization which I represent and as such I am subject to and governed by its constitution rules and regulations I think you realize and will admit that the settlement of the Hindu Muslim question is the foremost and the major hurdle

and unless representatives of these two nations put their heads together, how is one to make any headway with it?

Nevertheless, I explained to you the Lahore resolution of March, 1940, and tried to persuade you to accept the basic and fundamental principles embodied in it, but you not only refused to consider it but emphasized your opposition to the basis indicated in that resolution and remarked that there was "an ocean between you and me" When I asked you what was then the alternative you suggested, you put forward the formula of Mr Rajagopalachin approved by you We discussed it, and as various matters were vague and nebulous, and some required clarification. I wanted to have a clear idea of what it really meant and what were its implications, and asked you for explanation and clarification regarding the proposals embodied in that formula

After some discussion, you requested me to formulate in writing my points that I thought required, or called for, an explanation and clarification and to communicate with you and that you would reply in writing before our next meeting on Monday. September 11, at 530 pm I am, therefore, submitting to you the following points which require clarification.

(1) With regard to the preamble in what capacity will you be a consenting party if any agreement is reached between you and me?

(2) Clause 1 With regard to "the constitution for a free India" referred to in this clause, 1 should like to know first—what constitution do you refer to, who will frame it and when will it come into being?

Next it is stated in the formula thit' the Muslim League endorses the Indian demand for independence Does it mean the Congres demand for independence as formulated in the August Resolution of 1942 by the All India Congress-Committee in Bombay or, if not what is the aginfrance of this term for you know the Muslim League has mide it clear not only its resolutions but by its resolutions but by its recolutions that he will be sent and for the freedom and independence of the whole of this sub-continent, and thindistan.

Next it is stated that the Muslim League "will co-operate with the Congress in its formation of a provisional interior Government for the transitional period". I should like to know the basis or the lines on which such a Government is to be set up or constituted. If you have a complete and definite scheme please let me have it.

(3) Clause 2 Who will appoint the commission referred to in this clause and who will ers e effect to their findings? What is the mean ing of absolute majority referred to in it? Will the contemplated plebiscite be taken districtwise or if not, on what basis? Who will determine and decide whether such a plebiscite should be based on adult franchise or other practicable franchise? Who will give effect to the decision or verdict of the above mentioned plebiscite? Would only the districts on the border which are taken out from the boundaries of the pre sent province by delimitation be entitled to shoose to join either state or would also those outside the present boundaries have the right to choose to join either state?

(4) Clause 3 Who are meant by "all

parties" in this clause?

(5) Clause 4 I should like to know between whom and through what machinery and agency will the "mutual agreements' referred to in this clause be entered into? What is meant by "safeguarding defence and commerce, communications and for other essential purposes?" Safeguard ing against whom?

(6) Clause 5 "These terms shall be binding only in case of transfer by Britain of full power and responsibility for the Government of India I should like to know to whom is this power to be transferred, through

machinery and agency and when?

These are some of the important points that occur to me for the moment, which require explanation and clarification, and I hope that you will let me have full details about the various points that I have raised in order that I may be better able to understand and judge your proposals before I can deal with them satisfa ctorily.

> Yours sincerely. M A IINNAH

Mr Gandhi's letter dated September 11 ___ Dear Qaid-e Azam,

I received your letter yesterday at 3 30 pm I was in the midst of appointments I hasten to reply at the eatliest opportunity

I have said in my letter to you-it is implied in the Rajaji formula and I have stated publicly that I have approached you as an individual My life mission has been Hindu-Muslim unity which I want for its own sake but which is not to be achieved without the foreign ruling power being ousted

Hence the first condition of the exercise of the right of self determination is achieving independence by the joint action of all parties and groups composing Ind a If such joint action is unfortunately impossible, then too I must fight with the assistance of such elements as can be brought together.

I am glad therefore, that you did not break of our talks when I refused to assume or accept representative capacity of course, I am pledged to use all the influence I may have with the Congress to ratify my agreement with you. May I remind you that the Rajaji fromula was designed in the first instance for your acceptance and submission thereafter to the Lengue?

It is true that I said an ocean separated you are no utlook. But that had no refurence to the Lahore resolution of the Lergue. The Lahore resolution is indefinite. Rayin has taken from it the substance and siven it is slape.

Now for the points raised by you

- 1 I have already answered this in the toregoing
- 2 The constitution will be framed by the provisional government contemplated in the formula or an authority specially set up by it after the British power is withdrawn. The independence contemplated is of the whole of Inde as it stands

The basis for the formation of the provisional interim government will have to be agreed to between the League and the Congress

- 3 The commission will be appointed by the provisional government. Absolute majority means a clear majority over non-Muslim elements as in Sind, Baluchistan or the Frontier Province The form of plebiscite and the franchise must be a matter for discussion
 - 4 "All parties" means parties interested
- 5 "Mutual agreement" means agreement between contracting parties "Safeguarding defence, etc.' means for me a central or joint board of control Safeguarding means safeguarding against all who may put the common interests in jeopardy
- 6 The power is to be transferred to the nation, that is to the provisional government. The formula contemplates peaceful transfer by the British Government. So far as I am concerned, I should like the transfer to take place as early as possible

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 11-

Dear Mr Gandhi

I received your letter of September II at 5 pm today I note that you have approached me as an individual and I have already expressed my views about it Please do not take it that I acquiesce in the position that you have adopted for which there is no precedent. Nevertheless I proceeded to discuss matters with you because naturally I am anxious to convert you to my point of view if possible I urged you that the only solution of India's problem is to accept

the division of India as Pakistan and Hindustan, as briefly laid down in the Lahore resolution of March 1940, and proceed to settle details forthwith

You say the Lahore resolution is indefinite You never asked me for any clarification or explanation of the terms of the resolution, but you really indicated your emphatic opposition to the very basis and the fundamental principles embodied in it. I should, therefore, like to know in what way or respect the Lahore resolution is indefinite. I cannot agree that Rajaji has taken from it its substance and given it shape. On the contrary, he has not only put it out of shape but mutilated it, as I explained in my speech which I delivered at the meeting of the Council of the All-India Muslim League at Lahore on July 30.

You say the "first condition of the exercise of the right of self determination is achieving independence by the joint action of all parties and groups composing India. If such joint action is unfortunately impossible, then too I must fight with the assistance of such elements as can be brought together."

This, in my opinion, is, as I have repeatedly said, putting the cart before the horse, and is generally opposed to the policy and declarations of the All-India Muslim League. You are only holding on firmly to the August Resolution of 1942

In order to achieve the freedom and independence of the peoples of India it is

essential, in the first instance, that there should be a Hindu-Muslim settlement Of course I am thankful to you when you say that you are pledged to use all the influence that you have with the Congress to ratify your agreement with me, but that is not enough in my judgment, although it will be a very valuable help to me I once more ask you please to let me know what is your conception or basis for the formation of a provisional interim government No doubt, it will be subject to agreement between the League and the Congress but I think in fairness you should at least give me some rough idea or lines of your conception, for you must have thought it out by now and I would like to know what are your proposals or scheme for the formation of a provisional interim government, which can give me some clear picture to understand it

You have omitted to answer my questor as to who will give effect to the findings of the commission, and also it is not clear to me what you mean by absolute majority, when you say means "a clear majority over non Muslim elements as in Sind Baluchistan or the Frontier You have not even replied to my question as to who will decide the form of the plebiscite and the franchise contemplated by the fromula

The answer to the fourth point does not carry any clear idea when you say "all parties means parties interested"

You say "mutual agreement means agreement between contracting parties" Who are the contracting parties once a provisional interim government is established of your

As to the third point, the provisional government being the appointing authority will give effect to the findings of the commission. This thought was implied in my previous answer

Rajaji tells me that absolute majority is used in his formula in the same sense na its used in ordinary legal parlance wherever more that two groups are dealt with I cling to my own answer. But you will perhaps suggest a third meaning and persuade me to accept it

The form of the plebiscite and franchise must be left to be decided by the provisional interim government unless we decide it now I should say it should be by adult suffrage of all the inhabitants of the Pakistan area

As to the fourth all parties' means you and I and every one else holding stews on the question at issue will and should seek by peaceful persuasion to influence public opinion as is don, where democracy functions wholly or in part

As to fifth, supposing that the result of the plebiscite is in favour of partition, the provisional government will draft the treaty and agreements as regards the administration of matters of common interest, but the same has to be confirmed ratified by and governments of the The machinery required for the settlement and administration of matters of common interest will in the first instance be planned by the interim government but subsequently will be matter for setlement between the two govern ments acting through the agencies appointed by each for that purpose

Is to sixth. I hope the foregoing makes superfluous any further reply

Yours sincerely M K GANDHI

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 14 --

Dear Mr Gandhi,
I received your letter of September 14th at
45 pm today in reply to my letter of Sept
ember 11th (and not of September 13th as
you state, which seems to be a mistake) and I
thank you for it

1 Please let me have as soon as you can your promised letter indicating in what way or respect

the Lahore resolution is 'indefinite'

2 With regard to to the provision in the Gandhi Rajaji fromula that the Muslim League endorses the Indian demand for independence,' I asked you in my letter dated September John Does it mean the Congress demand for independenceas formulated in the August 1942 Resolution by the All-India Congress Committee in Bombay or if not, what is the significance of this term "to which you replied by your letter of September 1th "The independence contemplated is of the whole of India as it stands.' Hence I again ask, does it mean on the basis of an united India? I find that you have not clarified the point satisfactorily

As regards the next part of this clause the tormula proceeds to lay down that "the Muslim League will to operate with the Congress in the formation of the provisional interim government for the transitional period." I requested you by my letter of September 10th to let me know 'the basis or the lines on which such a government to be setup or constituted If you have a complete

and definite scheme, please let me have it," to which you replied by your letter of September lith under reply that "the basis for the formation lith under reply that "the basis for the formation of the provisional interim government will have to be agreed to betwen the League and the Corgress" But that is not meeting my request for clarification or giving me at least the outlines of such a government, and that is what I have been asking for I hope that you do appreciate my point when I am requesting you to let me have rough outlines of the proposed provisional interin government according to the formula so that I may have some idea Of course, I can quite understand that such a provisional interim government will represent all the parties and would be of a character that will inspire and would be of a character that will inspire confideree at the present moment of all the parties. I can quite understand that when the moment arrives certain things may follow, but before we can deal with this formula in a satisforty mainer I repeat again that, as it is your formula, you should give me a rough idea of the provisional interim government that you contemplate and of your conception. What I would have the keeps would be subsequent. like to know would be, what will be the powers of such a provisional interim government, how it will be formed, to whom it will be responsible, and what will be its composition, etc. You, being the sponsor of this Gandhi Rajaji formula, should give me some rough idea and picture of it, so that I may understand what this part of the formula means

In you letter of September 14th in reply to my letter of September 11th you inform me that you would have told me if you had any scheme in mind I imagine that if we two can agree it would be for us to consult the other parties", but that is just the point. Unless I have some outlines or scheme, however rough, from you, what are we to discuss in order to reach any agreement?

As regards the other matters which you have further explained I have noted the explanation, and I do not think I need press you further, although some of them are not quite satisfactory

Your sincerely, M. A JINNAH.

Mr Gandhi's letter dated September 15:--

Dear Qaid -e-Azam.

This is in terms of our talk of Wednesday
13th instant.

For the moment I have shunted the Rajaji formula and with your assistance am applying my mind very seriously to the famous Lahore resolution of the Muslim League

You must admit that the resolution itself makes no reference to the two nations theory. In the course, of our discussions you have passionately pleaded that India contains two nations, i.e. Hindus and Muslims and that the latter have their homelands in India as the former have theirs. The more our argument progresses, the more alarming your picture appears to me It would be alluring if it was true. But my fear is growing that it is wholly unreal I find no parallel in history for a body of converts and their descendants claiming to be a nation spart from the parent stock. If India was one hation before the advent of Islam it must remain one in spite of the change of faith of a very large body of her children.

You do not claim to be a separate nation by right of conquest but by reison of acceptance of Islam Will the two nitions become one if the whole of India accepted Islam? Will lengalize Oriyas India accepted Islam? Will lengalize Cuparitis etc. cease to have their special characteristics if all of them became converts to Islam? These have all become one politically because they are subject to one foreign control. They are trying today to thron off that subjection.

You seem to have introduced a new test of nationhood If I accept it I would have to sub scribe to many more claims and face an insoluble problem. The only real though an ful test of our nationhod arises out of our common political subjection If you and I throw off this subjection by our combined effort we shall be born a politically free nation out of our travail then we have not learnt to prize our freedom ac may quartel among outselves and for mant of a common master holding us together in his tron crip seek to split up into small groups or nationalities. The re will be nothing to prevent us from descending to that level and we shall not have to go in seatch of a master. There are many claiman's to the throne that never remains 1 3010t

With this bickground I shall present you with me difficults in accepting your resolution

all Paketan is not in the resolution. Does in beer the original meaning Punjib. Methnistan Kashmir, Sind and Baluchistan out of which the name was nemonically formed? If not what it if:

12) Is the goal of l'aktetan f'an Islam?

- 3 What is it that distinguishes an Indian Muslim from every other Indian, if not his religion? Is he different from a Turk or an Arab?
- 4 What is the connotation of the word "Muslim" in the resolution under discussion? Does it mean the Muslims of the India of geography or of the Pakistan to be?
- 5 Is the resolution addressed to the Muslims by way of education, or to the inhabitants of the whole of India by way of appeal or to the foreign ruler as an ultimatum?
- 6. Are the constituents in the two zones to constitute "independent states" an undefined number in each zone?
- 7 Is the demarcation to take place during the pendency of British rule?
- 8 If the answer to the last question is in the affirmative, the proposal must be accepted by Britain and then imposed upon India, not evolved from within by the free-will of the people of India *
- 9 Have you examined the position and satisfied yourself that these "independent states" will be materially and otherwise benefitted by being split up into fragments ?
- 10 Please satisfy me that these independent sovereign states will not become a collection of poor states, a menace to themselves and to the rest of India-
- 11 Pray show me by facts and figures or otherwise how independence and welfare of India as a whole can be brought about by the acceptance of the resolution?

- 12 How are the Muslims under the Princes to be disposed of as a result of this scheme?
 - 13 What is your definition of "minorities"?
- 14 Will you please define the 'adequate effective'and mandators safeguards" for minor tres referred to in the second part of the resolution?
- 15 Do you not see that the Lahore Revolution contains only a bate statement of the objective and does not give any idea as to the means to be adopted for the execution of the idea and the concrete corollaries thereof? For
- (a) are the people in the regions falling under the plan to have any voice in the matter of separation and if so how is it to be ascertained?
- (b) What is the provision for defence and similar matters of common concern contemplated in the Lahore Resolution?
- (c) There are many groups of Muslims who have continuously expressed disent from the policy of the League. While I am prepared to accept the preponderating influence and position of the League and have approached you for that very reason, is it not our joint duty to remove their doubts and carry them with us by making them feel that they and their supporters have not been practically disfranchised?
- (d) Does this not lead again to placing the resolution of the League before the people of

the zone concerned as a whole for acceptance ?

As I write this letter and imagine the working of the resolution in practice, I see nothing but ruin for the whole of India Believe me I approach you as a seeker Though I represent nooody but myself. I aspire to represent all the inhabitants of India for I realise in my own person their misery and degradation which is their common lot irrespective of class caste orceed I know that you have acquired a unique hold on the Muslim masses I want you to use your influence for their welfare, which must include the rest

In this hastily written letter I have only given an inkling of my difficulty.

Yours sincerely, M K Gandhi

Mr Gandhi s letter dated September 15:—
Dear Oaid-1 Azam,

I have yours of the 14th instant, received at 9.40 a m

I woke up at-3 am today to finish my promised letter on the Lahore resolution

There is no mistake about the date for I wrote in answer to your reminder of the 13th instant.

Independence does mean as envisaged in the A.I.C.C. Resolution of 1942. But it cannot be on the basis of a united India. If we come to a settlement it would be on the basis of the settlement, assuming of course that it secures general acceptance in the

country The process will be somewhat like this We reach by joint effort independence for India as it stands India become free will proceed to demarcation, plebiscite and partition if the people concerne vote for partition All this is implied in the Rajaji formula

As to the provisional interim Government I am aftaid I cannot carry my answer any further than I have done Though I have no scheme for the provisional Government if you have one in connection with the Labore Resolution which labor I presume requires an interim. Government we can direcuss it

The formula was framed by Rajaji in good faith I accepted it in equal good faith. The hope was that you would look at it with favour. We still think it to the best in the circumstrances. You and I have to put flesh on it if we can I have explained the process we have to go through. You have no objection to it. Perhaps you want to know how I would form the provisional Government it I was invited thereto. It I was in that uneviable position I would see all the claimants and endeavour to satisfy them. My concertation will be available in that task.

I can give you full satisfaction about your inquiry 'what I would like to know would be what will be the powers of such a provisional interim Government how it will be formed to whom it will be responsible. The provisional interim Government will be responsible to the elected members of the present Assembly or a newly elected one it will have all the powers less that of the commander-in-chief

during the War and full powers thereafter. It will be the authority to give effect to the agreement that may be arrived at between the League and the Congress and ratified by the other parties.

Your sincerely, M K GANDHI

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 17 —

Dear Mr Gandhi,

I have your letter of September 15th and I thank you for it. I note that you have for the moment shunted the Rajan formula and are applying your mind very seriously to the Lahore Resolution of the Muslim League It is my duty to explain the Lahore Resolution it is my duty to explain the Lahore Resolution to you to-day and persude you to accept it, even though you are talking to me as you have often made it clear, in your individual capacity I have successfully converted non Muslim Indians, in no small number and also a large body of foreigners, and if I can convert you exercising as you do tremendous influence over Hindu India, it will be no small assistance to me, although was easy not proceed to the foreign of the convertion of ationa, it will be no small assistance to me, although we are not proceeding on the footing that you are carrying on these talks in your representative character or capacity and my difficulties remain until you are vested with a representative status and authority in order to negotiate and reach an agreement with you

You have stated in your letter dated September 11th that the Lahore Resolution is "indefi nite" I therefore naturally asked you to please let me know in what way or respect the Labore Resolution is indefinite, and now I have received your letter of September 15th under reply

The third paragraph of your letter is not seeking clarification but a disquisition and expression of your views on the point whether the Musselmans are a nation This matter can hardly be discussed by means of correspondence There is a great deal of discussion and literature on this point which is available, and it is for you on this point which is available, and it is for you to judge finally, when you have studied this question throughly, whether the Mussalmans and question throughly, whether the Mussalmans and Hindus are not two major nations in this sub-continent. For the moment I would refer you to two publications, although there are many more Dr. Ambedkar's book and more MRTS. tain and hold that Muslims and Hindus are two major nations by any definition or test of a nation. We are a nation of a hundred million, and what is more we are a nation with our own distinctive culture and civilization, language and literature, architecture, names and nomenclature, sense of value and proportion, legal laws and moral codes, customs and calendar, history and traditions, aptitudes and ambitions, in short we have our own distinctive outlook on life and of life. By all canons of International and of life. By all collisions of international Law we are a nation. Now I shall proceed to reply to your various points -

1 Yes the word "Pakistan" is not mentioned in the Resolution and it does not bear the original meaning The word has now become synonymous with the Lahore Resolution

- 2 This point does not arise, but still I reply that the question is a mere bogey
- 3 This point is covered by my answer that the Mussalmans of India are a nation. As to the last part of your query it is hardly relevant to the matter of clarification of the Resolution.
 - 4 Surely you know what the word Muslime
- means

 5 This point does not arise by way of clarification of the text of the Lahore Resolution
 - 6 No They will form units of Pakistan
- 7 As soon as the basis and the principles embodied in the Lahore Resolution are accepted the question of demarcation will have to be taken up immediately
 - 8 In view of my reply to (7) your question (8) has been answered
 - 9 Does not relate to clarification
 - 10 My answer to (9) covers this point
 - of the Resolution Surely this is not asking for clarification of the Resolution I have in numerous speeches of mine and the Muslim League in its Resolution shave pointed out that this is the only solution of India's problem and the road to achieve freedom and independence of the peoples of India
 - 12 "Muslims under the Princes" The Lahore Resolution is only confined to British India This question does not arise out of the clarification of the Resolution

13 The definition of minorities You yourself have often said minorities means accepted minorities"

- 14 The adequate effective and mandatory safeguards for minorities reterred to in the Resolution are a matter for negotiation and settlement with the minorities in the respective states viz Pakistan and Hindustan
- 15 It does give basic principles and when they are accepted then the details will have to be worked out by the contracting parties
 - (a) does not arise by way of clarification
 - (b) does not arise by way of clarification
 - (c) The Muslim League is the only authoritative and representative organization of Muslim India

(d) No See answer (c)

As regards your final paragraph before receiving clarifications from me you have already passed your judgment and condemned the Labore Resolution, when you say As I write Lanore Resolution, when you say As I write this letter and imagine the working of the resolution in practice I see nothing but ruin for the whole of India I understand that you have made clear to me that you represent nobody but yourself and I am trying to persuade you and to convert you that this is the road which will lead us to the achievement of freedom and independence not only of the two major nations. Hindus and Muslims but of the rest of the peoples of India but when you proceed to say that you aspire to represent all the inhabitants of India, I regret I cannot accept that statement of yours It is quite clear that you represent nobody else but the Hindus, and as long as you do not realize your true position and the realities, it is very difficult for me to argue with you, and it becomes still more difficult to persuade you, and hope to convert you to the realities and the actual conditions prevailing in India to-day I am pleading before you in the hope of converting you as I have done with many others successfully As I have said before you are a great man and you exercise enotmous influence over the Hindus particularly the masses and by accepting the road that I am pointing out to you you are not prejudicing or narming the interests of the Hindus or of the minorities. On the contrary Hindus will be the greatest gainers. I am convinced that true welfare no. only of the Muslims but the rest of India lies in the division of India as proposed by the Lahore Resolution. It is for you to consider whether it is not your policy and programme in which you have persisted which has been the principal factor of "ruin of whole of India and of misery and degradation of the people to which you refer and which I deplore no less than any one else. And it is for that very reason I am pleading before you all these days although you insist that you are having these talks with me only in your individual capacity in the hope that you may yet revise your policy and programme. vailing in India to-day I am pleading before

Yours sincerely M A JINNAH

Mr. Gandhi s letter dated September 19 .--

ID WITBARAK

Dear Qaid 1 Azam

Many thanks for yours of 17th instant

I am sorry to have to say that your answers omitting 1, 2 and 6 do not give satisfaction

It may be that all my questions do not arise from the view of mere clarification of the Lahore Resolution. But I contend that they are very relevant from the standpoint of a seeker that I am. You cannot expect any one to agree to or shoulder the burden of the claim contained in the Lahore Resolution without for instance answering my questions 15 (a) and 15 (b) which you brush aside as not arising by way of clarification.

Dr Ambedkar's thesis while it is ably written has carried no conviction to me. The other book mentioned by you I am sorry to say. I have not seen

Why can you not accept my statement that I aspire to represent all the ections that compose the people of India? Do you not aspire? Should not every Indian? That the aspiration may never he realized is beside the point

I am beholden to you inspite of your opinion about me, for having patience with me. I hope you will never lose it but will persevere in your effort to convert me. I ask you to take me with my strong views and even prejudices, if I am guilty of any

As to your verdict on my policy and programme, we must agree to differ For, I am

wholly unrepentant My purpose is as a lover of communal unity to place my services at your disposal

I hope you do not expect me to accept the Lahore Resolution without understanding its implications. If your letter is the final word there is little hope. Can we not agree to differ on the questions of "two nations" and yet solve the problem on the basis of selfdetermination? It is this basis that has brought me to you If the regions holding Muslim majorities have to be separated according to the Lahore Resolution, the grave step of seperation should be specifically placed before and approved by the people in that area

> Yours sincerely M K GANDHI

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 21-

Dear Mr Gandhi

I am in receipt of your letter of September 19th, and I have already given you my answers to all your questions relating to clarification of the Lahore Resolution or any part of it, and I am glad that you admit when you say "it may be that all my questions do not arise from the view of mere clarification of the Lahore Resolution", but you particularly emphasize your points 15 (a) and 15 (b) I regret to say it has no relation to the context of the resolution or any part thereof You have brought so many matters into our correspondence which are entirely outside the matter requiring clarifi-

cation, so I have perforce to deal with them Let me first deal with your letter of September 11th

You say "My life mission has been Hindu-Muslim unit; which I want for its own sake but which is not to be achieved without the foreign ruling power being ousted. Hence the first condition of the exercise of the right of self determination is achieving independence by the joint action of all the parties and groups compound India. If such joint action is unfortunately impossible, then too I must fight with the assistance of such elements as can be brought together."

The gist of your letters up to date is that you are wedded to this policy and will pursue it In your next letter of September 14th while you were good enough to furnish me with the clarification of the Gandhi-Rajaji formula, you were pleased to observe "I have, at any rate for the moment, put it out of my mind and I am now concentrating on the Lahore Resolution in the hope of finding a ground for mutual agree-ment". In your letter of September 15th, you say "Independence does mean as envisaged in the AICC Resolution of 1942" It is therefore clear that you are not prepared to revise your policy and that you adhere firmly to your policy and that you adhere firmly to your policy and programme which you have persisted in and and programme which you demand, final policy, which culminated in your demand, final policy, programme, and the method and sanction for programme, and the method and sanction for enforcing it by resorting to mass civil disobedi-ence in terms of 8th August 1942 resolution, and you have made it more clear again by stating in your letter of September 19th as follows your tester of September AFRI as 101e0ws "As to your verdict on my policy and programme, we must agree to differ For I am wholly unrepentant" You know that the August 1942 Resolution is inimical to the ideals and demands of Muslim India Then again in the course of our discussion when I asked you for clarification our discussion when I asked you not claimcation of the Gandhi-Rajaji formula you were pleased to say by your letter of September 15th as follows. For the moment I have shunted t e Rajaji formula and with your assistance am applying my mind very seriously to the famcus Lahore Resolution of the Muslim League discussed it in its various aspects as you told me you were open to be persuaded and converted to our point of view I discussed the Resolution at great length with you and explained everything you wanted to understand even though you have emphasized more than once that you are having these talks with me in your personal capacity and in your letter of September 15th you assured me in the following words with regard to the Lahore Resolution Believe me I approach you as a seeker though I represent no body but myself and that you were open to conviction and conversion had informed me by your letter of September 11th as follows - 'It is true that I said an ocean separated you and me in outlook But that had no reference to the Lahore Resolution of the League The League resolution is indefinite I naturally therefore, proceeded in reply, to ask you by my letter of September 11th as follows—
"You say the Lahore Resolution is indefinite You never asked me for any clarification or explanation of the terms of the Resol ution but you really ind cated your emphatic opposition to the very basis and the fundamental principles embodied in it I would therefore like to know in what way or respect the Lahore Resolution is indefinite", and I sent you a reminder on September 13th to which you replied by your letter of September 15th not confining yourself really to matters of clarifi cation, but in roducing other extraneous matters with some of which I had already dealt, in reply to this letter of yours of September 15th by my letter of September 17th and furnished you with all the clarifications informing you that you had introduced several matters which could you had introduced several matters which could you had introducted several macrets. Which could hardly be discussed in a satisfactory manner by means of correspondence. I have already given you all the clarifications you require so lar as the Lahore Resolution gors and its text is concerned You again raise further arguments, reasons and grounds and continue to persist in a disquisition on the point, amongst others, whether Muelims of India are a nation and then whether Muchins of Mulia are a hatron and then you proceed further to say. "Can we not agree to differ on the question of two nations and yet solve the problem on the basis of celf-determation." It seems to me that you are labouring under some misconception of the real meaning of the word "Self-Determination" Apart from the inconsistencies and contradictions of the various positions that you have adopted in the course of our corresponnave adopted in the course of our correspon-dence, as indicated above, can you not appreciate our point of view that we claim the right of self-determination as a nation and not as a territorial unit, and that we are entitled to exercise our inherent right as a Muslim nation, which is our birthright? Whereas you are labouring under the wrong idea that "self-determination" means only that of "a territorial unit which by, the way, is neither demarcated nor defined yet, and here is no Union or Federal constitution of India in being, functioning as a sovereign Central Government Ours is a case of division and carving out two independent sovereign states by way of settlement between two major nations. Hindus and Muslims, and not of severance or seccession from any existing. Union which is nor-existent in India. The right of self determination which we claim postulates that we are a nation, and as such it would be the self-determination of the Mussalmans and they alone are entitled to exercise that right.

I hope you will now understand that your question 15 (a) does not arise out of the Labore Resolution or of any part thereof As to 15 (b), again it does not arise as a matter of clarification, for it will be a matter for the constitution making body chosen by Pakistan to deal with and decide ill matters as sovereign body representing Pakistan vis a-vis the constitution making body of Hindustan or any other party concerned There cannot be defence and similar matters of "common concern," when it is accepted that Pakistan and Hindustan will be two separate independent sovereign states. I hope I have now given all satisfactory explanations over and above the matter of clarification of the Labore Resolution, in the hope of converting you as an individual "seeker'

Yours sincerely M A JINNAH

"Mr Gandhi s letter dated September 22 ---

Dear Qaid-1-Azam

Your letter of yesterday (21st mst) so disturbed me that I thought I would postpone my reply till after we had met at the usual time Though I made no advance at our meeting I think I see somewhat clearly what you are driving at The more I think about the two nation's theory the more alarming it appears to The book recomended by you gives me It contains half truths and conclusions or inferences are unwattanted am unable to accept the proposition that the Muslims of India are a nation distinct from the rest of the inhabitants of India. Mere assertion is no proof. The consequences of accepting such a proposition are dangerous in the extreme Once the principle is admitted there would be no limit to claims for cutting up India into numerous divisions which would spell India's ruin I have theretore suggested a way out Let it be a partition as between two brothers, if a division there must be

You seem to be averse to a plebiscite In spite of the admitted importance of the League, there must be clear proof that the people affected desire partition In my opinion all the people inhabiting the area ought to express their opinion specifically on this single issue of division. Adult suffrage is the best method but I would accept any other equivalent

You summarily reject the idea of common interest between the two arms I can be no willing patty to a division which does not

provide for the simultaneous safeguarding of common interests such as defence, foreign affairs and the like. There will, be no feeling of security by the people of India without a recognition of the natural and mutual obligations arising out of physical contiguity.

Your letter shows a wide divergence of opinion and outlook between us. Thus you adhere to the opinion often expressed by you that the August 1942 resolution is "inimical to the ideals and demands of Muslim India" There is no proof for this sweeping statement.

We seem to be moving in a circle. I have made a suggestion. If we are bent on agreeing, as I hope we are, let us call in a third party or parties to guide or even arbitrate between us.

Yours sincerely, M. K. GANDHI.

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 23:—

Dear Mr. Gandhi,

I am in receipt of your letter of September 22nd, and thank you for it. I am sorry that you think I have summarily rejected the idea of common interest between two arms, and and now you put it somewhat differently from 15 (b), when you say there will be no feeling of security by the people of India without a recognition of the natural and mutual obligations arising out of physical contiguity. My answer, already given, is that it will be for the Constitution-making body of Pakistan and that of Hindustan, or any

other party concerned, to deal with such matters on the footing of their being two independent states.

i am really surprised when you say there is no proof of what you characterize as a sweeping statement of mine, that the August 1942 resolution is immical to the ideals and demands of Muslim India. The resolution in its essence is as follows:—

(a) immediate grant of complete independence and setting up immediately of a federal central Government on the basis of a united democratic Government of India with federated units or provinces, which means establishing a Hindu ra).

(b), that this National Government so set up will evolve a scheme for a constituent assembly, which will be chosen by adult franchise, which will prepare a constitution for the Government of India, which means that the constituent assembly chosen will be composed of an overwhelming majority of the Hindus, nearly 75 per cent.

. (c) to enforce this demand of the Congress the August resolution decides on and sanctions a resort to mass civil disobedience at your command and when ordered by you as the sole dictator of the Congress

This demand is basically and fundamentally opposed to the ideals and demands of Muslim India of Pakistan, as embodied in the Lahore

Resolution, and to enforce such a demand by means of resort to mass civil disobedience is inimical to the ideals and idemands of Muslim india, and if you succeed in realising this demand, it would be a death-blow to .Muslim India I see from the correspondence and talks between you and me that you are still holding fast to this fateful resolution

From the very first day of our talks you made it clear to me and you have repeatedly said in the course of our correspondence and talks that you have approached me in your individual you have approached me in your individual capacity and you assured me that you were a seeker of light and knowledge and that you seriously and earnestly wanted to understand the Lahore Resolution and were open to convi ction and conversion. Therefore in deference to your wishes I made every effort all these days and in the course of our prolonged talks and correspondence to convert you but unfor tunately it seems I have failed And now you have made new suggestions and proposals by your letter under reply

(1) You say I have therefore suggested a way out Let it be a partition as between two brothers if a division there must be I really do not know what this means and I would like you to elaborate this proposal and give me some rough outlines of this new idea of yours as to how and when the division is to take place and in what way it is different from the division envisaged by the Lahore Resolution

(2) You say let us call in a third party or parties to guide or even arbitrate between us May I point out that you have repeatedly made clear to me that you are having these talks as an individual seeker? How can any question of a third party or parties to guide or arbitrate between us arise?

Yours sincerely M A JINNAH

Mr. Gandhi s letter dated September 23 ---

Last evening stalk has left a bad taste in the mouth Out talks and our correspondence seem to run in parallel lines and never touch one another. We reached the breaking point last evening but, thank God, we were unwilling to part. We resumed discussion and suspended it in order to allow me to keep my time for the evening public prayer.

In order that all possible chance of miking any metake in a matter of this great importance may be removed I would like you to give me in writing what precisely on your part you would want me to put my signature to

I adhere to my suggestion that we may call in some outside assistance to help us at this stage

Yours sincerely M K GANDHI

Mr. Jinnah's letter dated September 23 --Dear Mr. Gandhi.

I am in receipt of your letter of September 23m May I refer you to my letter of to day of date which I sent to you in reply to yours of September 22nd? I have nothing new or fresh to add, but I may say that it is not a case of your being asked to put your signature as representing any body till you clothe your-ell with represent

ative capacity and are vested with authority We stand by as I have already said, the basis and fundamental principles embodied in the Lahore Resolution of March 1940 I appeal to you once more to revise your policy and programme, as the future of this sub-continent and the welfare of the peoples of India demand that you should face realities.

Yours sincerely M A JINNAH,

Mr. Gandhi s letter dated September 24 -

Dear Qaid-i Azam

I have your two letters of 23rd September in reply to my letters of 22nd and 23rd

With your assistance, I am exploring the possibilities of reaching an agreement so that the claim embodied in the Muslim League resolution of Lahore may be reasonably satisfied You must therefore have no apprehensions that the August resolution will stand in the way of our reaching an agreement The resolution dealt with the question of India as against Britain and it cannot stand in the way of our settlement.

I proceed on the assumption that India is not to be regarded as two or more nations but as one family consisting of many members of whom the Muslims living in the North West zones, i.e Balluchistan. Sindh. North West Frontier Province and that part of Punjab where they are in absolute majority over all the other elements and in parts of Bengal and Assam where they are in absolute majority desire to live in separation from the rest of India

Differing from you on the general basis I can yet recommend to the Congress and the country the acceptance of the claim for separation contained in the Muslim League Resolution of Lahore of 1940 on my hasis and on the following terms —

The areas should be demarcated by a Commission approved by the Congress and the League The wishes of the Inhabitants of the areas demarcated should be ascertained through the votes of the adult population of the areas or through some equivalent method

if the vote is in favour of separation it shall be agreed that these areas shall form a separate state as soon as possible after India is free from foreign domination and can therefore be constituted into two sovereign Independent States There shall be a treaty of separation which should also provide, for the efficient and satisfactory administration of foreign affairs defence, internal communications customs, commerce and the like, which must necessarily continue to be the matters of common interest between the contracting parties

The treaty shall also contain terms for safeguarding the rights of minorities in the

Immediately on the acceptance of this agreement by the Congress and the League agreement by the congress and the League the two shall decide upon a common course

of action for the attainment of independence of India.

. The League will however be free to remain out of any direct action to which the congress may resort and in which the League may not be willing to participate.

If you do not agree to these terms. could you let me know in precise terms what you would have me to accept in terms of the Labore Resolution and bind myself to recommend to the Congress? If you could kindly do this, I shall be able to see apart from the difference in approach, what definite terms I can agree to In your letter of 23rd September you refer to "the basis and fundamental principles embodied in the Labore Resolution" and ask me to accept them. Surely this is unnecessary when as I feel I have accepted the concrete consequence that should follow from such acceptance

Yours sincerely, M K. GANDHI.

Mr. Jinnah's letter dated September 25th:

Dear Mr. Gandh.

- I am in receipt of your letter of September 24th, and I thank you for it. You have already rejected the basis and fundamental principles of the Lahore Resolution.
- (1) You do not accept that the Musalmans of India are a nation.
- (2) You do not accept that the Musalmans have an inherent right of self-determination

are profiled to exercise this right of theirs

(4) You do not accept that Pakistan is composed of two zones, North-West and North-East, comprising six provinces, nemely Sindh, Baluchistan, Nort-West Frontier Province, the Punjab, Bengal and Assam, subject to territorial adjustments that may be agreed upon, as indicated in the Lahore Resolution. The matter of demarcating and defining the territories can be taken up after the fundamentals above mentioned are accepted, and for that purpose machinery may be set up

You do not accept the provisions embodied in the Lahore Resolution for safeguarding the minorities and yet in your letter under reply you say "With your assistance, I am exploring the possibilities of reaching an agreement so that the claim embodied in the Muslim League Resolution of Lahore may be reasonably satisfied, and proceed to say 'you must therefore hive no apprehensions that the August Resolution will stand in the way of our reaching an agreement."

I have already clearly explained to you that the August resolution, so long as it stands, its abar, for it is fundamentally opposed to say "that resolution You then proceed to say "that resolution add it cannot stand in the way of our settlement". I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I am not at present concerned with Britain, but I came to the August Resolution, as I have already stated, the August Resolution, as I have already stated, the August Resolution as I have already stated, the Resolution of League. Further, there is the resolution of

Jagat Narayan Lal, passed by the All-India Congress Committee in May 1942 at Allahabad, which in express terms, lays down as follows -

"The AI,C.C is of opinion that any proposal to disintegrate India by giving liberty to any component state or territorial unit to secede from the Indian Union or Federation will be highly detrimental to the best interests of the people of the different states and provinces and the country as a whole and the Congress, therefore, cannot agree to any such proposal"

These two resolutions so long as they stand, are a complete bar to any settlement on the basis of the division of India as Pakistan and Hindustan It is open to the Congress to revise and modify them, but you are only speaking in your individual capacity, and even in that capacity you are holding fast to the August Resolution and you have given no indication of your attitude regarding Jagat Narayan Lal's resolution. I have repeatedly made it clear after we had discussed the Gandhimade it clear after we had discussed the Gandhi-Rajaji formula, as you maintained that, to use your own language 'Rajaji not only has not put the Lahore Resolution out of shape and mutilated it but has given it substance and form,' and proceeded to say 'Indeed in view of your dislike of the Rajaji formula. I have, at any rate for the moment, put it out of my mind and I am now concentrating on the Lahore Resolution in the hope of finding a ground for mutual agreement.' When I asked for further clarification, which you furnished me by your letter of ation, which you furnished me by your letter of

September 15th you started by saying 'I have shunted the Rajaji formula and with your assistance I am applying my mind very seriously to the famous Lahore Resolution of the Muslim League", and thence forward the Gandhi-Rajau formula was not discussed any further, and the question of your representative character and authority, which I had pointed out from the very commencement, therefore did not arise, as you had given me the task of converting you to the fundamentals of the Lahore Resolution, and ever since we discussed the Lahore Resolution only at great length and examined the pros and cons, and finally you have rejected it. As a result of our correspondence and discussions I find that the question of the division of India as Pakistan and Hindustan is only on your lips and it does not come from your heart, and suddenly at the eleventh hour you put forward a new suggestion, consisting only of two sentences by your letter of September 22nd, saying, "I have therefore suggested a way out Let it be a partition as between two brothers if a division there must be". I naturally asked you what this new suggestion of yours meant and wanted you to give me rough outlines of this new idea of yours as to how and when the division is to take place and in what way it is different from the division envisaged in the Lahore Resolution and now you have been good enough to give me your amplification, in your letter of September 24th under reply, in which you say 'Differing from you on the general basis I can yet recommend to the Congress and the country the acceptance of the claim for separation contained in the Muslim League Resolution of Lahore 1940 on my basts and on the following terms". The terms clearly indicate that your basis is in vital conflict with

and is opposed to the fundamental basis and principles of the Lahore Resolution Now let me take your main terms

(a) "I proceed on the assumption that India is not to be regarded as two or more nations but as one family consisting of many members of whom the Muslims living in the North West zones ie Baluchistan Sindh North West Frontier Province and that part of the Punjab where they are in absolute majority over all the other elements and in part of Bengal and Assam where they are in absolute majority, desire to livein separation from the rest of India." If this term wereaccepted and given effect to, the present boundaries of these provinces would be maimed and mutilated beyond redemption and leave us only with the husk, and it is opposed to the Lahore Resolution

- (b) That even in these mutilated areas so defined, the right of self determination will not be exercised by the Muslims but by the inhabitants of those areas so demarcated This again is opposed to the fundamentals of the Lahore Resolution
 - (c) That if the vote is in favour of separation they shall be allowed to form a separate state as soon as possible after India is free from foreign dominations, whereas we propose that we should come to a complete settlement of our own immediately, and by our united front and efforts do everything in our power to secure the freedom

and independence of the peoples of India on the basis of Pakistan and Hindustan.

(d) Next you say "There shall be a treaty of separation which should also provide for the efficient and satisfactory administration of foreign affairs defence, internal communications customs, commerce and the like which must necessarily continue to be matters of common interests between the contracting parties" If these vital matters are to be administered by some 'central authority, you do not indicate what sort of authority or machinery will be set up to administer these matters. and how and to whom again that authority will be responsible According to the Lahore Resolution, as I have already explained to you, all these matters, which are the lifeblood of any state, cannot be delegated to any central authority or government. The matter of security of the two states and the natural and mutual obligations that may arise out of physical contiguity will be for the constitution-making body of Pakistan and that of Hindustan, or other party concerned, to deal with on the footing of their being two Independent states As regards the safeguarding of the rights of minoritles, I have already explained that this question of safeguarding the minorities is fully stated in the Lahore Resolution

You will therefore see that the entire basis of your new proposal is fundamentally opposed

to the Lahore Resolution, and as I have already pointed out to you both in the correspondence and in our discussions, it is very difficult for me to entertain counter-proposals and negotiate and reach any agreement or settlement with you as an individual unless they come from you in your representative capacity That was the same difficulty with regard to the Gandhi Rajaji formula, and I made it clear to you at the very outset, but the formula was discussed as you asserted that it had met the Lahore Resolution in substance, but while you were furnishing me with the clarification of this formula, you shunted it and we confined ourselves to the Lahore Resolution, and hence the question of your representative capacity did not arise regarding this formula But now you have in your letter of September 24th made a new proposal of your own on your own basis and the same difficulties present themselves to me as before, and it is difficult to deal with it any further unless it comes from you in your representative capacity

I cannot agree with you when you finally wind up by saying "In your letter of 23rd September you refer to the basis and fundamental principles embodied in the Lahore Resolution and ask me to accept them Surely this is unnecessary when as I feel I have accepted the concrete consequence that should follow from such acceptance." This is obviously far from correct Why not then accept the fundamentals of the Lahore Resolution and proceed to settle the details?

Yours sincerely M A JINNAH

Mr Gandhis letter dated September 25 —

Dear Qaid i aram

Yesterday's talk leads me to inflict this letter on you which I trust you will not mind

Our conversations have come about as a result of your correspondence with Rajaji in July last over his formula and your consultations with the League Working Committee thereon and my own letter to you suggesting a meeting between you and me my proposal of yesterday is an earnest effort to meet the essential requirements of the Lahore Resolution I would like you therefore to think fifty times before throwing an offer which has been made entirely in the spirit of service in the cause of communal harmony Do not take I pray the responsibility of rejecting the offer Throw it on your Council Give me an opportunity of addressing them If they feel like rejecting it I would like you to advise the Council to put it before the you to advise the Council to put it before the open session of the League If you will accept my advice and permit me I would attend the open session and address it

You are too technical when you dismiss my proposal for arbitration or outside guidance over proposition arous thou of oursing guidance over points of universities. At have approached you as an individual and not in any representative as an individual and not in any representative capacity it is because we believe that if I reach capacity it is because we beneve that it is reach an agreement with you it will be of material use an agreement with you k will be of material use in the proce's of secuting a Congress League settlement and acceptance of it by the country settlement are remainded to supplement is it irrelevant or madmissible to supplement our efforts to convince each other with outside our enough to convenie each other with ob-help guidance advice or even arbitration?

M K GANDHI

Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 26 —

Dear Mr Gandhi

I am in receipt of your letter of September 25th It is entirely incorrect and has no foundation corn It is entirely incorrect and has no roundation in fact for you to say that our conversations have come about as a result of my correspondence with Rajaji in July last over his formula. It is equally baseless to "ay and your consultations with the League Working Committee thereon." It was entirely n response to your letter of July 17th 1944 which I received while I was at String 1944 which I received while I was at Sringgar with a fervent request on your part to meet you and you ended that letter by saying 'Do not di appoint me In my reply again from Sringgar dated July ith 1944 I intimated to you that I would be glad to receive you at my you that I would be glad to receive you at my house in Bombay on my return which would probably be about the middle of August This was long before the meeting of the Working Committee or that of the Council of the All India Muslim League and long before I reached Lahore and when you arrived here and told me that you were approaching me in your individual that you were approaching me in your individual capacity I at once made it clear to you and informed you both in our talks and by my letter that the position you had taken up had no precedent for it and further that it was not possible to negotiate and reach an agreement unless both the parties were fully represented For it is one sided business as it will not be For it is one sided business as it will not be binding upon any organization in any sense whatever but you would as an individual only recommend it if any agreement is reached to the Congress and the country whereas it would be binding upon me as the President of the Muslim League I cannot accept this position.

I hope you do see the unfairness and the great disadvantage to me and it is so simple and elementary for any one to understand

As regards your proposal of yesterday which you have amplified in your letter of September '4th I have already sent you my reply

With regard to your suggestion to be allowed to address the meeting of the Council and if to address the intecting of the Council and it they feel like rejecting your offer the matter should be put before the open session let me snown be put octobe the open session let me inform you that only a member or delegate is entitled to participate in the deliberations of the meetings of the Council or in the open session meetings of the Besides it is a most extraordinary respectively Desides it is a most extraordinary and unprecedented suggestion to make. How ever I thank you for your advice

As regards your proposal for arbitration and outside guidance. I have already replied to you and it is not merely technicality but a matter of assubstance. I fully reciprocate your desire of securing a Congress League settlement.

However I regret I have failed to convince riowever reserved as I was hopeful of doing you and convert you as I šΩ

Yours sincerely M A IINNAH

Mr Gandhi s letter dated September 26 ---

Dear Qaid 1 Azam

In view of my letter to you of yesterday left to myself I would have refrained from dealing to myself 1 would have refrained from dealing with your letter before our meeting today But I have deferred to Rajan's advice to finish the chain of correspondence

I confess I am unable to understand your persistent refusal to appreciate the fact that the formula presented to you by me in my letter of the 24th as well as the formula presented to you by Raiau give you virtually what is embodied in Lahore Resolution providing is absolutely necessary to same time what make the arrangement acceptable to the country You keep on saying that I should accept certain theses which you call the basis and fundamental principles of the Lahore Resolution have been contending that the best way for us who differ in our approach to the problem is to give bidy to the demand as it stands in the resolution and work it out to our mutual satisfac It is on this plan that I understand Rajaji s formula to be conceived and it is on the same plan that I have tried to work it out in the course of and as a result of our talks I contend that either gives you the substance of the Lahore Resolution Unfortunately you And I cannot accept the Labore reject both Resolution as you want me to especially when you seek to introduce into its interpretation theories and claims which I cannot accept and which I cannot ever hope to induce India to accept

Your constant references to my not being clothed with representative authority are really irrelevant. I have approached you so that if you and I can agree upon a common course of action I may use what influence I possess for its acceptance by the Congress and the country If you break it cannot be because I have no representative capacity or because I have been unwilling to give you satisfaction in regard to the claim embodied in the Lahore Resolution

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI Mr Jinnah's letter dated September 26 -Dear Mr Gandhi,

I have received your letter of September 26th, and I note that you have written it with Rajiji s advice Of course, it is for you to follow such advice as you may choose to do so, but I am only concerned for the moment with you I note that at the last moment you have resurrected the Gandhi Rajaji formula, although it was shunted all this time, and you proceed to say that this formula gives me virtually what is embodied in the Lahore Resoultion ther say that on the same plan you have tried to formulate your latest proposals, as mentioned in your letter of September 24th and you maintain that either gives me the substance of the Lahore Resolution In your previous letter you asserted that your formula gives me the "essence" of the Lahore Resolution I see very close family resemblance between the two, and the substance of one or the other is practically the same, only it is put in different language. and I have already expressed my opinion that in my judgement they neither meet the substance nor the essence of the Lahore Resolution. On the contrary, both are calculated to completely torpedo the Pakistan demand of Muslim India I have never asked you accept cerain theses nor have I introduced any theories in the Lahore Resolution Theses and theories are matters for scholars to indulge ın

I am sorry I have to repeat, but I am compelled to do so, that I cannot agree with you that my references to your not being clothed with representative authority are really irrelevant On the contrary, they have an important bearing, as I have already explained to you more than once You again repeat that if you and I can agree upon a common course of action, you may use what influence you possess for its acceptance by the Congress and the country I have already stated from the very beginning that that is not enough, for the reasons I have already given Your representative capacity comes into play when you are making counter proposals, and I cannot understand how you can say that it is irrelevant No responsible organization can entertain any proposal from my individual, however great he may be, unless it is backed up with the authority of a recognized organization, and comes from its fully accredited representative However, I need not labour this point any more, as I have already explained it in our previous correspondence

If a break comes, it will be because you have not satisfied me in regard to the essence of the claim embodied in the Lahore Resolution. It is not a question of your being unwilling, but in fact it is so if a break comes it will be most unfortunate. If one does not agree with you or differs from you, you are always right and the other party is always wrong, and the next thing is that many are waiting prepared, in your circle, to pillory me when the word goes, but I must face all threats and consequences, and I can only act according to my judgment and conscience.

Yours sincerely, M A IINNAH

MR GANDHIS FIRST SPEECH AFTER THE BREAKDOWN OF NEGOTIATIONS

At the end of the evening public prayer which took place immediately after his last interview with Mr. Jinnah on September 27 Gandhiji addressed the audience. Speaking in Gujrati he said he was not addressing them in Hindustania's before because he wanted his words to go straight to the hearts of the audience most of whom were Gujratis. He had particularly the women in mind who were not accustomed to Hindustani speech.

He had told them that when the talks were over he would let them know the result. That stage had been reached the day before but as copies of the correspondence were not ready its actual release had to be postponed till that day Authorized copies of the correspondence had now been sent to the Press with a prefatory statement by the Qaid-i Azam

Hitherto he had told them that he was not without hope with regard to the outcome of the talks. He had to confess that he result that he was hoping for had not materialized. But he had no sense of disappointment or despondency He was convinced that even out of that break down good would result.

Although the Qaid 1-4zam and he had known each other fairly well in public life before, they had never come into such close personal contact Their conversations were carried out with friendliness and cordiality he wanted all the communities to cultivate the same spirit of

friendliness and cordiality in their relations with one another They should try to convert one another through it

They might ask, why was it then that he and the Qaid 1-Azam had failed to convert each other? His reply was that he had tried his level best to go as far as he could to meet the Qaid 1-Azam's viewpoint. He had taken incalculable pains to understand him and to make himself understood. But he had failed

He had placed before the Qaid-i-Azam Rajaji's formula but that did not commend itself to him. He had thereupon put forth another proposal of his own in its place but even that had failed to secure Jinnah Saheb's approval. In the same way, Jinnah Saheb's proposal had failed to commend itself to him. If either of them had been weak, they would feel them thad been weak, they would afford to be weak. A helmsman had to be afford to be weak. A helmsman had to be firm and unwavering or else the ship would founder upon the rocks Each one of them had tried to convince the other. It was possible that both of them might be in the wrong But so long as each felt himself to be in the right he could not let go his hold

The news of the breakdown he knew would cause grief to the friends of India and might give cause for jubilation to their enemies. He drew their attention to the last sentence in their statement in which he had said that it was not the final end of their effort

Although they had been unable to appreciate each other's view point, the public could help them to do so They should not lose heart there was any one who had reason to feel disappointed it was he He had knocked at the Qaid i-Azam's door But as he had. already observed there was no despondency in him was not for a votary of truth and non-violence to feel despondent if his effort at times failed to yield the result aimed at Failure should only serve as spur to further effort God alone knew what was best for them It was not for them to question God's ways Therefore, instead of feeling despondent they should regard the breakdown as a challenge to their faith and as an incentive for greater effort for establishing true unity among the various communities

Mr GANDHI'S PRESS STATEMENT dated 28th SEPTEMBER 1944

"It is a matter of deep regret that we two could not reach an agreement. But there is no cause for disappointment. The breakdown is only so called It is an adjournment sine die Each one of us must now talk to the public and put our viewpoints before them If we do so dispassionately and if the public co-operate, we may reach a solution of the seemingly insoluble at an early date. experience of the precious three weeks confirms me in the view that the presence of the third power hinders the solution mind enslayed cannot act as if it was free I need not impute base motives to the Rulers to prove what seems to me to be an axiom-Neverthless, I am going continue to work for the solution as I have heen during these three weeks. The questions for consideration are simple. Has the Raiail formula or mine made a reasonable approach to the Lahore Resolution? If they or either of them is such an approach all parties and especially the members of the Muslim League should ask the Qaid-1-Azam to revise his If Rajaji and I have stultified the Lahore Resolution we should be educated The chief thing is for the Press and the

public to avoid partisanship and bitterness?

"I shall act as my inner voice tells me', replied Ir Gandhi to a question on his future plans, whether he propo ed to concentrate on a Hindu Yushim settlement or take up political work, seeking imprisonment if necessary

Asked how far the offer he had made had conceded the demand made in the Lehore Resolution of the League, Mr Gandhi emphasized that the Rajan formula or the formula that he presented conceded the substruce of the League demand

'In my opinion, either formula gives as much as can reasonably be expected with due regard to the interests of the whole of India, he said

In answer to a question whether his offer was to be treated now as withdrawn, he said that so far as the was concerned the offer he had made stood It was not made in any bargaining spirit

'I think,' he said "it is a just solution of the problem and it is in the spirit of the policy which the Congress has consistently adopted in connection with the communal question, namely, self determination."

A number of questions were put on the representative character of the two leaders who conducted the negotiations and why Mr Gandhi prolonged the talks when he was appired of Mr Jinnah's yiews on the first day of the talks

Mr Gandhi answered "I am a man reputed to have inexhaustible patience and I have no reason to despair of either being converted by the Qaid i Azam or in my turn converting him Therefore, so long as there was the slightest possibility, I clung to the hope that we shall pull

through to a solution most dangerous thing You should, therefore, conclude that yesterday was really the moment when the public should have been taken into confidence."

"As for myself, I am entirely satisfied that we have not wasted these three weeks I have no doubt whatsoover that we know now each other better than ever before".

"When you agreed to meet Mr Jinnah, did you meet him on the basis that he was the sole representative of the Muslims?" asked a reporter

Mr Gandhi replied "I have never admitted that claim, but I have said throughout that the Muslim League is by far the most representative Muslim organization it would have been folly on my part not to recognize this, but I have always been aware that there is outside the League a large body of Muslims which does not see eye to eye with the League and which does not believe in the two nations theory."

Mr Gandhi asserted that the fight for freedom had not been suspended when he approached the had not been suspended to the Qaid i Azam "My approach to the Qaid i Azam was itself a part of the fight for freedom," he said

Asked if there was any possibility of the two leaders meeting again in the near future, Mr Gandhi said "I hope so It is for the Press and the nuble to make it possible and hasten the date I assure you that we have not parted as enemies, but as friends"

If the Rajaji formula or his own formula

had conceded the substance of the Lahore Resolution, then why not agree to the resolution itself? was the next question

Mr Gandhi replied "Although the resolu tion does not say so, if you study the correspondence, it shows that it is based on the two nations theory and it has been known as the Pakistan Resolution Further I had to examine the resolution in view of the interpretation put upon it by Qaid-i-Azam in his numerous speeches and statements in elucidation of the resolution It is indisputable that the resolution, while it does not enunciate that theory, is based upon that theory. The Qaid i-Azam has insisted upon that Therefore, I urge that apart from the two nations theory, if I could accept the principle of division of India in accordance with the demand of the League, he should accept it. But unfortunately it was just there we split "

Asked about Mr Jinnah's views regarding a provisional interim Government, he said "I am not sure that the Qaid i Azum puts great weight on the interim Government. I gave all the explanation of my conception of an interim Government without any reservation. It is quite clear in my letter. If idid not go any further, it was because I could not and, even if you cross examine me any further, I would have to say I could not go any further. But if, as you suggest, the Qaid i Azam attached greater weight to it, then it was open to him to put it into concrete form. I would have then taxed myself and

spared no effort to accept the proposition or to make some other suggestions."

Mr. Gandhi was told that those Muslims who did not see eye to eye with the League had no real Muslim backing. He replied. "There: fore. I have said that the League is by far the more representative of Muslim opinion, but I cannot despise the others by simply saying that they have no Muslim backing. What does it matter if they have no more Muslim backing if the opinion represented by a single Muslim, or by a body of Muslims whom you can count on your fingers, is intrinsically sound? The way of approaching a question is not to examine the numerical strength of those behind the opinion, but to examine the soundness of the opinion on ments, or else we will never reach a so utlon. Mr. Gandhi was told that those Muslims who merits, or else we will never reach a so ution. and if we reach one, it will be a blind solution simply because it is the wish of the largest body. If the largest body goes wrong, it is up to me to say you are wrong and not to submit.

"The rule of majority does not mean that it should suppress the opinion of even an individual, if it is sound. An individual's opinion should have greater weight than the opinion of many, if that opinion is sound on onerits. That is my view of real democracy."

Mr. Gandhi was asked what he thought of the idea of formation of provinces on linguistic, cultural

and communal basis - He replied that since 1920 ne was for provinces on a linguistic basis

As for redistribution on a cultural biss he did not really know what it meant and he was unable to understand how provinces could be reconstituted on communal lines unless there was a suggestion that there should be interinguation of the various communities to concentrate in particular areas. It seemed to him to be fantistic and impossible "We are not," he said, "imhabiting a country full of deserts and wastelands. We are a densely populated country and I do not see the slightest chance for such redistribution.

"In that respect the Lahore Resolution is quite sound—where there is an obvious Muslim majority they should be allowed to constitute a separate State by themselves and that has been fully conceded in the Rajai formula or my formula. There is not much distinction between them. That right is conceded without the alightest reservation. But if it means utterly independent sovereignty so that there is to be nothing in common between the two, I hold it is an impossible proposition. That means war to the knife. It is not a proposition that resolves it elfinto a youthard of friendly solution.

"Therefore, the Rajuj formula and my formula have presented certain things to be in common between sovereigh State. Therefore there is no question of one party overbearing the other or the Centre having an overbearing Hindi majority. I think our formula should be entically and sympathetically examined and it would be found that it formula concedes extrathing that could be comed.

be conceded if we consider ourselves to be one family. Children of the same family, dissatisfied with one another by reason of change of religion, if they should separate, then the separation should be within ourselves and not separation in the face of the whole world. When two brothers separate, they do not become enemies of one another in the eyes of the world. The world will still recognize them as brothers.

A journalist said that some of the Nationalist.

Mushims felt that the Congress through Mr Gandhi
meeting Mr Jinnah had put them in a false position
and that they might have to change their attitude
towards Indian nationalism

Mr Gundhi replied that it was an extraordinaly suggiction Nationalist Muslims were nationalist simply becurse they could not be otherwise. "I am a rationalist," he said, "not in order to please any body, but because I cannot be otherwise. And if approached the Qaid i Azam, I approached him in the common interests of myself and Nationalist Muslims and other Nationalist Nationalist Muslims, of far as I know, were delighted when I approached the Qaid i Azam and were looking forward to a proper solution in the confidence that I would not sell the interests represented by them

"Undoubtedly, a Nationalist Muslim represents the intended in the representation of the nation. He would be guilty of the nation. He would be guilty of the services the Muslim interests Blut my nationalism has taught me that I would be guilty of disloyalty, if I secrifice the interests of a single Indian.

Asked if there was any difference between his present attitude towards the Maslim League demand and the stand he took in 1942, Mr. Gandhi said

"There is very great difference In 1942, Rajan had not 'burst' on the scene as he did at the Aga Khan Palace with a concrete proposition It reflects very great credit on his persistence. He never takes up a standpoint without the fullest consideration and having taken it up, he follows it to the bitterest end. He had abundant faith in m, loyalty and he never gave me up as I have never given him up. When he found me in the Aga khan Palace and presented the formula, I did not take even five minutes and I said 'Yes because I saw it in a concrete shape

"My mind is narrow I have not read much literature I have not seen much of the world I have concentrated upon certain things in life and beyond that I have no other interest. Therefore, I could not realize the meaning of Rajaji is stand and I disliked it. But when he came with a concrete formula—I myself a concrete being of flesh and blood—and when he had put something in concrete shape, I felt I could hug it and touch it. There shape, you see the vast difference between 1942 and fore, you see the vast difference between 1942 and today. However thereby I have not departed from the Congress standpoint in general terms. Congress has accepted self determination and the Rajaji formula has also accepted the principle of self determination and therefore the formula had become common ground."

Proceeding, Mr Gandhi explained that he accepted the principle of Sovereign States, consistent with friendliness "Friendliness suggests," he said 'that before the whole world we must act as one nation not united by extraneous circumstances or united by force of British arms but united by a grant force, that is, our own determined will '

MR GANDHIS INTERVIEW TO "NEWS-CHRONICLE" ON 29th SEPTEMBER 1944.

Mr Gandhi told me why his talks with Mr Jinnah iniled to produce a solution of the Hindu Muslim differences.

"I could not accept the two nations This was Mr Jinnah's demand immediate recognition of the He wants North-West Frontier Province, Sind. whole of the Punjab, Bengal and Assam as sovereign and completely independent Pak. stan ' He wants Mr Gandhi to agree to this amou tation from the rest of India without consulting the wishes of the inhabitants by plebisite. He has rejected the Rajagopalachari formula. I asked Mr Gandhi what he was prepared to recognise as Pakistan and on what basis there could be any hope of agreement in future He was frank and precise He replied, 'I want to make it clear that I believe Mr Jinnah is incere, but I think he is suffering from hallucination when he imagines that an unnatural division of India could bring either happiness or prosperity to the people concerned. It was my or property suggestion that provided there was the safeguard of a plebisert, there could be sovereignty for the or a president discountable Sovereignty for the predominantly Muslim areas, but it should be accompanied by bonds of alliance between Hindustan and Phistan There should be common policy arringement on foreign affairs, defence, communications and similar matters defence, command trans and smaller matters this is manifestly vital to the welfare of both parts of This arrangement, Vir Gandhi said, could India Instarrangement, in Ganum said, could not interfere with the internal life of Muslims who not interfere with the invertee into of Muslims who would not be subject in any way to Hindu domination Such a division would not create an artificial split between people who whatever their religious faiths are descended from a common stock and are all Indians "Unfortunately', said Mr Gandhi, "Mr. Jinnah would have none of it and asked me to agree to the principle of two nations, entirely separate" I asked Mr Gandhi if he had adopted this attitude because he thought he could not 'sell' such a division to the country or because he thought it wrong in principle He replied, "Because it is fundamentally wrong in principle If I had thought Mr. Jinnah's view was right even though the whole world were against me I would have accepted it personally and given him my unquestioned allegiance" I then asked Mr Gandhi, "If Mr Jinnah agreed to your view of division, but insisted there should be no plebiscite or a plebiscite in which only Muslims would vote, would you settle on this basis? ' Mr Gandhi answered, "Never, How could I Mr Gandin answered, "Never, How could I agree in a per-onal or any other capacity to decide the future of millions of people without their having anything to say about their destiny?" "What, I asked "was your impression of Mr Juniah's attitude on the question of an interim National Government which you outlined to me in July?" Mr Gandhi replied Mr Jinnah has said that. he is deeply interested in Independence, but it did ne is deeply interested in independence, but it did not seem to me that he set as great store by it as immediate recognition of the Pakistan he wants Whereas, you see, my view has been all along that Whereas, you see, my view has been an along that we cannot be free among ourselves until we are free from imperial domination. We have parted as friends These drys have not been wasted I am convinced that Mr Jinnah is a good man I hope convinced that it offices a good man I hope we shall meet again I am a man of prayer and I shall pray for understanding In the meantime it is the duty of the public to digest the situation and bring the pressure of their opinion upon us "

MR JINNAH S STATEMENT AT A PRESS CONFERENCE HELD on 4th OCTOBER 1944

"My attention has been drawn to Mr Gandha-Press statement which was published on Sept 29 It is a pit that he thinks that the presence of a third party hinders a solution, and it was very painful to me when he suid, "A mind enslaved cannot act as if it was free." As power can enslave the mind and soul of man, and I am sure Mr Gandh is the last person to allow his mind to be enslaved I do hope that he will get over this depression from which he is perpetually suffering. We have to reach an agreement of our own and find a solution inspite of that third purty

As rigards the other matters, Mr Gandlii has unfortunately initiated his propaganda in milht royal style, contrary to our joint statement Apart from challenging the representative and authoritative status of the Muslim League he is inciting Muslimans against me, and he keeps on repeating the assertion throughout his statement that he has met the essence of the Lahore resolution by what he now calls his offer or offers in the shape of the Gandhi Rajaji formula and his own proposals which he put forth at the eleventh hour an intelligent man cun now see that in substance there is no difference between the two What he calls his own offer time after he had rejected all the essentials of the Lahore resolution, and the Gandhi Rajaji of the Lahore resolution, and the Gandhi Rajaji of the Lahore resolution, and the Gandhi Rajaji of the Lahore resolution the very commencement Now that the matter is subject to public discussion,

and as Mr Gandhi is making statement after statement and giving interviews which are so insleading, I am compelled to deal with what he calls his offer. Let us examine at least the main points

- 1 Immediate grant of Independence to India as one single national unit.
- 2 Immediate setting up of a national provi-sional interim government of his conception, as defined by him in his letter of September 15, which 15 as follows A provisional interim government which will be responsible to the elected members of the present Assembly or a newly elected one It will have all the powers less those of the Commander in Chief during the war, and full powers thereafter It will be the authority to give effect to the agreement that may be arrived at between the Congress and the League. By the hy, it does not only recognise the evictence of a third party, but hands over to him all the po wers of the Commander in Chief during the war, and the Defence, which is the most vital and overpower ing Department This clearly means the establishment immediately of a Central unitary or Federal government in charge of the entire civil administration with an over-whelming majority of Hindus in the Legislature, which will be not less than 75 per cent, to which the Cabinet will be responsible.
 - 3 That when such a government is established it will be for this Government, so established, to frame the constitution of free India or it will set up an authority which will frame the constitution after the British Power is withdrawn

in and continue to be administered by a Central authority or Government.

This is what Mr Gandhi calls a partition or division between two brothers and it is really innuzing that he should report an inaucum that he has be his offer estafied the essence or substance of the Lahorr resolution. It would be difficult to other ones of a more distinguishment, tortuous and crooked as ertion, which he keeps on repeating much

What is the use of misle thing people and making confusion were confounded! If we accept these terms, which present us with a virtible trap and is slough of death, it mens the burial of Pukistan But I see some ray of hope still when he says, "If Rajaji and I baye shifted the Labore resolution, we should be a lieved."

T tred to do so as fir as Mr Ganthi was concruel, for three weeks but his ulment as so long standing and so thome that it is beyond the reach of a physician

I hope that his appeal to the press and the public to educate him will not fall on deed cars. But when he was acked, but not to be way pleased to say! I shall at set the murry sorce tells. I or an ordinary mostal like me there is no room in the pre-cene of his "inner voice."

His contradictions and inconsistencies even in a single letter, however short a e beyond measure and the sum total of all that has happened during the past four weeks presents one with a Chinese puzzle. I will give one instance amongst many

Where there is an obvious Muslim majority they should have the fullest right to constitute themselves into a separate State utterly independent sovereignts so that there is nothing in common between the two I hold it as an impossible proposition. Then it means a fight to the knife

Here is an apostle and a devotee of non violence theatening is with a fight to the kinfe and according to him the talks have only been adjourned sine die But apart from that what I md of separate States does he then concerle to the obvious Muslim majority in their national homelands?

Mr Gandhi perhaps under provocation again asserted that he has never admitted the claim of the Muslim League as the only authoritative organization of the Muslims and darkly hinted that there are other Muslim organizations with a large body of Muslim opinion behind them who do not see eve to eye with the League and do not support the two nation theory. Thereby he has again made an attempt to discredit the Musslim League and disrupt the Mussalmans for he knows that that is not true. Of course no nation can attain ab olute and complete unanimity, cent per cent.

In one breath Mr Gandhi agrees to the principle of division and in the makes proposals which go to destroy the

by Muslim India On the one hand he wants a League Congress agreement, and on the other he denies its representative character and authority to speak on behalf of the Mussalmans of India Mr Gandhi is an enigma."

Mr Imuah elucidated a number of ques tions put by Pressmen regarding the boundaries of the Pakistan State He was asked if any machinery would be set up to decide the case of predominantly non Mushim border areas of their intention to join Pikistan or Hindustan referred a questioner to the Labore resolution which stated that the division should be on the basis of the present boundaries of the six provinces, i.e. of the present boundaries of the six provinces, ie the North West. Frontier Province, the Punjab, is and a Baluchistan, subject to Sind, Bengal visual and Baluchistan, subject to emphasised the words "subject to" and explained that territorial adjustments did not apply to one side only but to Bolt-sides-Tlindustan and Palisation "Il midd to clert," Mr. Jimah said, "that if we agree on the fundamentals of the Labore resolution than the measurement of demonstrating or defound the then the question of demarcating or defining the then the question of demarcting or denning the boundaries can be taken up later in the same way as a question of boundaries arising botween two nations is solved. It will be like one Government nations is somed to will be like one devernment negotiating with the other and arriving at a

But there are no Governments here," interrupted a reporter Mr Jinnah said that the two parties would set up constitution making bodies which would deal with the matter or even before that they might arrive at an agreement "Is there any possibility of your meeting Mr Gandhi in the prest of the matter of the ma

Gandhi says that it depends on the inner voice I have no admission to that place I cannot say "

The Mushm League President was asked whether he had any scheme for the constitution of Pakistan Mr Jinnah said that the principle of Pakistan should be first accepted and the scheme would be formulated thereafter Further explaining the point, Mr Jinnah referred to a previous question namely, the absence of two contra-ting Governments on behalf of Hindustan and Pakistan, and said that it was true there were no 'de jure' Governments If the principle of division was accepted then it followed that both Hindustan and Pakistan would have to choose their own constitution making bodies Those bodies as representing two sovereign States would deal with questions of mutual and natural relations and obligations by virtue of the physical contiguity, and they would then as two independent sovereign States—two nations—come to an agreement on various matters

"Take the case of the continents of America," he said "There are 25 independent sovereign states in South and North America They have their treates and agreements with regard to their mutual attes and agreements with regard to their mutual interests. Even so, the States in Europe have their own agreements with each other for trade, commerce, and even alliances. These are things that can be adjusted. Agreements and treaties are entered into even between two countries that have no physical contiguity. Here, the two nations are neighbours and have physical contiguity."

Earlier, Mr Jinnah said that one important issue which he had dealt with in his statement was whether the offer or offers of Mr Gandhi have either of them satisfied the essence or substance of the Labore esolution. He had e

position fully "Mr Gandhi says," Mr Jinnah added, "that if his formula or Rajagopalacharis-formula stultified the Lahore Resolution, then they should be educated To that my answer i Have made my contribution for 21 days and am still making that contribution, and, so far as the public is concerned, I hope it will not fall on derfears Mr Gandhi in his statements and interview has attempted to discredit the League and cause disruption among Mussalmans, I naturally resent it and he will get his answer"

MR. JINNAH'S INTERVIEW TO THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE "NEWS CHRONICLE", LONDON, ON 4th OCTOBER 1944.

"There is only one practical, realistic way of resolving Muslim-Hindu differences. This is to divide India into the two sovereign parts of Pakistan and Hindustan by the recognition of the whole of the North-West Frontier Province, Baluchistan, Sind, Punjab. Bengal and Assam as sovereign Muslim territories as they now stand, and for each of us to trust the other to give equitable treatment to Hindu minorities in Pakistan and Muslim minorities in Hindustan. We are prepared to trust twenty-five million Muslims to them if they will trust us."

This view was expressed to me to-day by Mr.

Jinnah in a two and a half hour conversation on Jinnah in a two and a half hour conversation on his talks with Mr. Gandhi. "The offer made to his talks with Mr. Gandhi. "The offer made to us", said Mr. Jinnah, "is an insult to intelligence. I was asked to agree to a plebiscite where Mushms are not in absolute majority. What was meant are not in absolute majority! I asked Mr. Gandhi. His by absolute majority! I asked Mr. Gandhi. His by absolute majority! I asked Mr. Gandhi. His web given control without a vote. In this he differed from Mr. Rajagopalachari, who said we should accept the legal definition of absolute majority, which means fifty-one per cent of the entire population of an election area, Constitution under which such a Federation would constitution under when such a receration would work. These are matters of fundamental importance. How could I agree to the scrapping of the present Constitution and framing of a new one as a substitute, as proposed by Mr. Gandhi on the basis of a united. India democratic, parlia mentary Government which would come into operation at once If we agree to this, the question of Pakistan would be shelved to Doomsday and practically burned. That is what Mr Gandhi wanted me to do 'If you do not give me what I want', says Mr Gandhi, 'I wall reserve the right to resort to mass civil disobedience' I cannot be accused of being pro British No Indian worthy of the name wants foreign domination. But don't you see that if I agreed to join this threat, It could only be by my accepting the Congressements, which are opposed to Pakistan, and if the British Government surrendered, Muslim India would be faced not only by Hindu majority rule would be laced not only by filling imports ride but a Hindu majority triumphant with British co operation If Mr Gandhi does not mean to resort to civil disobedience, he should say so and withdraw the August Resolution He doesn't with draw it He is emphasizes it The fact is the Hindus want some kind of agreement which will still give them some form of control over Muslims They will not reconcile themselves to our complete independence There was another fundamental obstacle in our unere was another fundamental obstacle in our way Mr Gandhi talked in his personal capacity, I as the Prevident of the Muslim League I told him if we agree and my Committee rejects the agreement, I am discredited If your Committee turns you down, yo can say I was talking as an individual and you are as you were Mr Gandhi has also said that the prevence of the British impedes our agreement. There are some British who do not want agreement, but there is nothing to prevent us agreeing. We have free minds and souls No power can frustrate our coming to any agreement in ten minutes if the Muslim claim agreement in ten minutes if the Muslim claim of complete independence is recognized. Then only we shall have true co-operation between Muslim and Hindu It will be freely given by men who are masters in their own houses and not because one is subject to the domination of another and must therefore submit to the other's noint of view." point of view "

MR JINNAH S INTERVIEW TO THE KEPKE-SENTATIVE OF THE DAILY WORKER LONDON ON 5TH OCTOBER 1944

In reply to the request of the Correspondent to explain the Pakistan demand to non Muslim lovers of freedom Vir Jinnith sult. To under stand the Pakistan demand in its full significance it is to be borne in mind that the six Provinces, viz., NWFP, Baluchistan Sind the Punjab in the North West, and Bengal and Assam in the North East of this sub-continent have a population of 70 million Muslims and total population of Muslims would not be less than 70 per cent. The rest is composed of Hindus tribul people and untouchables. It is in these zones that Muslims wish to establish Palistan as an independent State.

How 1 Pikitin the Mulmus demand for freedom? nekrd the Correspondent Mr I mak replied "It is the Muslim demand for freedom becau e Mulmis in Pakistan want to be able to establish their own real democratic popular Government This Government will have the sanction of the mass of the population of Pakistan and will function with the will and sanction of the entire body of people in Pakistan irrespective of caste, creed or colour

Will Pakistan have any neighbourly relation with Hindustan? asked the Correspondent again

Mr Jinnah said "Certainly Pakistan will have neighbourly relations with Hindustan like any other two independent national States I have said so several times off India", to all outsiders Pakistan will not tolerate any outside design or aggression on this subcontinent. We will observe some thing like Monroe Doctrine ' Asked about the British Government's attitude towards his demand. Mr Jinuah stud 'British die-hard ruling class will be more opposed than anybody else to Pakistan materialising because, in my judgment, that is the only way of getting free-dom in the quickest and surest way They know it In their secret dispatches state ments and documents they have made it clear since India Bill was introduced and when Crown assumed the Government of India, that when they assumed the Government of mark that when they say United India, they mean perpetuation of British Imperialist domination. They have kept us on that Imperialist domination. They have kept us on that Imperialist domination. They try to put line for nearly a century. They try to put Hindus and Muslims together artificially and unnaturally dangling in front of the idea of Hindu India or united democratic parliamentary Government for India as one national unit. It is impossible It is only a manoeuvre to give them ultimately firm ground for saying as they did when enacting the Government of India Act 1935 that we cannot allow progress and welfare of India to be delayed because of your quarrels and we pe delayed because of your quarters and we as chosen of God, have a mission and cannot wait till you agree Therefore we will enact constitution and impose it upon the conflicting elements as overlords'. In such a constitution neither Hindus nor Muslims nor any other section of the people will be free or independent"

There is propaganda by the Government and their agents in the Punjab that you are not in terested in the war efforts agunst the Axis Powers and that these efforts will suffer under the League Ministry in the Punjab said the Correspondent Mr Jinnah replied, "It is absolutely untrue, we put no obstacles to wur efforts On the contrary, from the commencement of the war, we repertedly stated clearly that we wanted no foreign aggression and that we were ready and willing to defend our home lands and to co operate in the prosecuition of the war. But the British Government have refused our hand of friendship and we have not been able to give our assistance. British Government They want us to take all burden and re-ponsibilities as subservients while keeping power in their own hands."

"What is your message to the British people?, was another question. Mr Jinnah said "My message to the British people is that if they are really sincere—and there are many such—they should bring pressure on the British Government to accept the Pakistan principle as the only solution of the constitutional problems of India which means not only freedom of Muslims but of Hindus and other elements in the country too".

APPENDIX A LAHORE RESOLUTION (1940) OF THE ALL INDIA MUSLIM LEAGUE

While approving and endoring the action taken by the Council and the Working Committee of the All India Yushm League, as indicated in their resolutions dated the 27th of August, 17th and 18th of September and 22nd of October 1939, and 3rd of February 1940 on the constitutional issue, this Session of the All India Yushm League emphatically reiterites that the scheme of federa tion embodied in the Government of India Act 1935, is totally unsuited to and unworkable in the peculiar conditions of this country and is altogether unacceptable to Muslim India

It further records its emphatic view that while the declaration dated the 18th of October 1939 made by the Vicercy on behalf of His Vajesty s Government is reassuring in so far as it declares that the policy and plan on which the Government of India Act 1935, is baved will be reconsidered in consultation with the various parties, interests and communities in India, Muslim India will not be satisfied unless the whole constitutional plan is reconsidered de novo and that no revised plan would be acceptable to the Muslims unless it in framed with their approval and consent

Resolved that it is the the considered view of this Session of the All India Muslim League that no constitutional plan would be workable in this country or acceptable to the Muslims unless it is designed on the following basic principles:

tize that geographically contiguous units are demarcated into regions which should be so constituted with such territorial readjustments as may be necessary that the areas in which the Muslims are numerically in a majorily as in the North Western and Eastern zones of India should be grouped to constitute Independent States in which the constitute Independent States in which the constitute Independent States in which the constitution times shall be autonomous and sovereign

That adequate effective and mandators safe guards should be specifically provided in the constitution f a minorities in these units and in the regions for the protection of their religious cultural economic political administrative and other rights and interests in consiliation with them and in other parts of India where the Massalmans are in a minority adequate effective and mandatory safe guards shill be specifically provided in the constitution for them and other minorities for the protection of their religions cultural economic political administrative and other rights and interests in consultation with them

This Session further authorises the Working. Committee to frame a scheme of constitution in accordance with these last, principles, providing for the assumption finally by the respective regions of all powers such as defence external affairs communications customs and such other matters as may be necessary.

APPENDIX B.

"Basis for terms of settlement between the Indian National Congress and the All India Vuslim League to which Gandhiji and Mr Jimah agree and which they will enderwour respectively to get the Congress and the League to approve

- (1) Subject to the terms set out below as regards the constitution for Free India, the Muslim League endorses the Indian demand for Indie pendence and will co operate with the Congress in the formation of a provisional interim Government for the transitional period
- (2) After the termination of the war, a commission shall be appointed for demarcating continuous stricts in the north west and east of India, wherein the Muslim population is in absolute majority. In the areas thus demarcated, a plebisetie of all the inhabitants held on the basis of adult suffrage or other priecticable franchise shall ultimately decide the issue of separation from Hindustan. If the majority decide in favour of forming a sovercign State separate from Hindustan such decision shall be given effect to, without prejudice to the right of districts on the border to choose to join either State.
- (3) It will be open to all parties to advocate their points of view before the plebiscite is, held
- (4) In the event of separation, mutua ments shall be entered into for '

defence, and commerce and communications and for other essential purposes

- (5) Any transfer of population shall only be on an absolutely voluntary basis
 - (6) These terms shall be binding only in case of transfer by Britain of full power and responsibility of the governance of India."

APPENDIX C

Mr. Gandhi s Proposal Dated 24th Sept 1944

"The areas should be demarcated by a Commission approved by the Congress and the League The wishes of the inhabitants of the areas demarcated should be ascertained through the votes of the adult population of the areas or through some equivalent method

If the vote is in favour of separation it shall be agreed that these are is shall form a separate Struct as soon as possible after Indiv is free from foreign domination and can therefore be constituted into two sovereign independent States

There shall be a treaty of separation which should also provide for the efficient and satisfactory administration of foreign affairs defence internal communications customs, commerce and the like, which must necessarily continue to be matters of common interest between the contracting parties

The treaty shall also contain terms for safeguard ing the rights of minorities in the two States

Immediately on the acceptance of this agreement by the Congress and the League the two shall decide upon a common course of action for the attainment of independence of India

The League will however be free to remain out of any direct action to which the Congress may resort and in which the League may not be willing to participate"

APPENDIX D

JAGAT NARAIN LAL'S RESOLUTION OF THE ALL INDIA CONGRESS COMMITTEE

"The A.J.C. as of the opinion that any proposal to disintegrate India by the groung liberty to any component State or territoriol unit to secode from the Indian Union or Federation will be highly detrimental to the best interests of the people of the different States and provinces and the country as a whole, and the Congress, therefore, cannot agree to any such proposal."

APPENDIX E.

AUGUST 1942 RESOLUTION OF THE ALL INDIA CONGRESS COMMITTEE

'The All India Congress Committee has given the most car-ful consideration to the reference made to it by the Working Committee in their resolution dided July 14, 1942, and to subsequent events, including the development of the wire situation, the utterances of responsible spokesmen of the British Government, and the comments and criticisms made in India and abroad The Committee approves of und endorses that resolution and is of opinion that events subsequent to it have given it further justification, and have made it clear that the immediate ending of British rule in India is an urgent necessity, both for the sake of India and for the success of the cause of the United Nations The continuation of that rule is degrading und enfeebling India and making her progressively less capable of defending herself and of contributing to the cause of world freedom

"The Committee has viewed with dismay the deterioration of the situation on the Russian and Chinese fronts and conveys to the Russian and Chinese peoples its high appreciation of their heroism in defence of their fre-dom. This moreasing peril makes it members on all those who strive for freedom and who sympathise with the victims of aggression, to examine the foundations of the policy so far pursued by the Allied Nations, which have led to repeated and disastrous failure. It is not by adhering to such aims and policies and methods that failure can be converted into success,

also attain their complete freedom. It must be clearly inderstood that such of these countries as are under Japanese control now must not subsequently be placed under the rule or control of any other Colonal Power.

"While the A I C C must primarily be concerned with the independence and defence of India in this hour of danger, the Committee is of opinion that the future peace, security and ordered progress of the world demand a world federation of free nations. and on no other basis can the problems of the modern world be solved. Such a world federation would ensure the freedom of its constituent nations. the prevention of aggression and exploitation by one nation over another, the protection of national minorities, the advancement of all backward are is and peoples, and the pooling of the world a resources for the common good of all On the establishment of such a world federation, disarmament would be practicable in all countries national armies, navies and air forces would no longer be necessary, and a world federal defence force would keep the world peace and prevent aggression

"An independent India would gladly join such a world federation and co operato on an equal basis with other countries in the solution of international problems

"Such a Sederation should be open to all mations who agree with its full elemental principles. It was of the war, however, the federation must ineritably, to begin with, be confined to the United Nations, such a step taken now will have a most powerful effect on the war, on the peoples of the Asix countries, and on the peace to come

"The Committee regretfully realizes, however, that despite the tragic and overwhelming lessons of

the war and the perils that overhang the world the Governments of few countries are yet prepared to take this inevitable step towards world federation The reactions of the British Government and the misguided criticism of the foreign Press also make it clear that even the obvious demand for India s independence is resisted, though this has been made essentially to meet the present peril and to enable India to defend herself and help China and Russia in their hour of need. The Committee is unxious not to embarrass in any way the defence of China or Russia, whose freedom is precious and must be preserved, or to jeopardise the defensive capacity of the United Nations But the peril grows both to India and these nations, and inaction and submission to a foreign administration at this stage is not only degrading India and reducing her capacity to defend herself and resist aggression, but is no answer to that growing peril and is no service to the peoples of the United Nations The earnest appeal of the Working Committee to Great Britain and the United Nations has so far met with no response and the criticisms made in many foreign quarters have shown an ignorance of India's and the world's need, and sometimes even hostility to India's freedom, which is significant of a mentality of domination and ricial superiority which cannot be tolerated by a proud people conscious of their strength and of the justice of their cause

"The VIC would yet again at this let moment, in the interest of world freedom, renew this appeal to Britain and the United Nations. But the Committee fiels that it is no lenger justified in holding the nation back from endeavoying to assert its will against an imperialist and author tarian Government which dominates over it, and prevents it from functioning in its own intiand in the interest of humanity. The Committee resolves, therefore to vanction for the yinduction of India's inchenable right to freedom and independence, the starting of a mass struggle on non volent limits on the widest possible scale so that the country might utilize all the non-volent strength it has gathered during the last 22 years of peaceful struggle. Such struggle must involve this but but in the kadership of Gandhin and the Committee requests him to take the lead and unde the nation in the steps to be taken.

The Committee appeals to the people of India to free the danges and hardships that will fall to their lot with courage and endurince and to hold together under the lendership of Gandhin and curry out his instructions as discipline? soldiers of Indian freedom. They must remember that non-violence is the basis of this movement. A time may come when it may not be possible to issue instructions of for instructions to reach our people and when no Congress Committees can function. When this happens every min and woman who is pirticipating, in this movement must function for himself or heresiff within the four corners of the general instructions issued fivery. Indian who desires freedom and strives for it must be his own juide urging him on along the hard road where there is no resting place and which leads ultimately to the independence and delivarine of India.

I nestly, whits the A I C C has stated its own time of the future governance under free India the A I C C wishes to make it quite clear to all concerned that by emburking our mass struggle it has no intention of gaining power for the Congress The power, when it comes, will belong to the whole people of India